



The Scottish Parliament
Pàrlamaid na h-Alba

Published 10 December 2019
SP Paper 647
5th Report, 2019 (Session 5)

**Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs
Committee**
**Comataidh Cultar, Turasachd, na h-Eòrpa agus
Gnothaichean a-muigh**

**Putting Artists In The Picture: A
Sustainable Arts Funding System For
Scotland**



Published in Scotland by the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body.

All documents are available on the Scottish
Parliament website at:
[http://www.parliament.scot/abouttheparliament/
91279.aspx](http://www.parliament.scot/abouttheparliament/91279.aspx)

For information on the Scottish Parliament contact
Public Information on:
Telephone: 0131 348 5000
Textphone: 0800 092 7100
Email: sp.info@parliament.scot

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Recommendations	3
Introduction	7
Part 1: The Current Funding Landscape	9
National Funding	9
Overview	9
Creative Scotland	15
European Funding	20
Local Government Funding	22
Statutory framework and responses to ring-fencing	22
ALEOs and the delivery of cultural services	24
Local government spend for culture and related services 2011/12 – 2017/18	25
Incomplete data on local authority expenditure on culture	26
Data to support evidence-based policy making	28
Part 2: Re-Setting Local & National Policy Alignment	30
Embedding the arts in local priorities and policy-making	30
Local cultural strategies	31
Community planning	34
Intergovernmental funding opportunities - city region deals	35
Creative Scotland and local-national coordination	36
Delivering cross-cutting policy outcomes	40
Infrastructure	44
Arts Venues	44
Institutions of national significance	47
Percentage for the arts	48
Part 3: Investment in Scotland's Artists	50
Fair pay	50
Tiered funding applications and peer review	53
Progressive funding streams and funded development opportunities	56
Other means of supporting artists	58
Annexe	60
Membership changes	60
Minutes	60
Official Reports and Notes of Fact-Finding Visits	60

Written submissions	61
Comparative Research	63
References	63

Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee

Remit: To consider and report on the following (and any additional matter added under Rule 6.1.5A)—

- (a) proposals for European Union legislation;
- (b) the implementation of European Communities and European Union legislation;
- (c) any European Communities or European Union issue;
- (d) the development and implementation of the Scottish Administration's links with countries and territories outside Scotland, the European Union (and its institutions) and other international organisations; and
- (e) co-ordination of the international activities of the Scottish Administration.
- (f) culture and tourism matters falling within the responsibility of the Cabinet Secretary for Culture, Tourism and External Affairs



<http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/CurrentCommittees/european-committee.aspx>



europe@parliament.scot



0131 348 5234

Committee Membership



Convener
Joan McAlpine
Scottish National Party



Deputy Convener
Claire Baker
Scottish Labour



Donald Cameron
Scottish Conservative
and Unionist Party



Annabelle Ewing
Scottish National Party




Kenneth Gibson
Scottish National Party



Ross Greer
Scottish Green Party



Stuart McMillan
Scottish National Party



Mike Rumbles
Scottish Liberal
Democrats



Alexander Stewart
Scottish Conservative
and Unionist Party

Executive Summary

The Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee launched this inquiry at what is a pivotal moment for arts funding policy in Scotland. There is now, for the first time, a national outcome on culture within the Scottish Government's National Performance Framework. This should raise our ambition for the arts and culture to be embedded in all aspects of government policy. Creative Scotland will shortly announce the outcome of its funding strategy review and the Scottish Government's culture strategy is expected imminently. Scotland's arts funding system is facing ongoing challenges and uncertainty arising from fluctuations in National Lottery income, wider pressures on public finances and a lack of clarity about the direction of the UK's future relationship with the European Union. In this landscape, artists face increasing competition for funding in what many see as a bureaucratic system that does not adequately support them to build sustainable careers and artistic ventures.

This report sets out recommendations that focus on putting artists at the centre of Scotland's arts funding system. Public funding of the arts will only be sustainable if artists are paid a fair wage and the Committee therefore calls on the Scottish Government and Creative Scotland to take urgent, robust action on this issue. The Committee recommends in this regard that the Scottish Government develop a new indicator within the National Performance Framework to monitor the number of self-employed artists and cultural freelancers who are paid a fair wage and that Creative Scotland should take steps to ensure greater transparency in the amount of funding it awards that goes directly to artists producing artistic work, in order to raise the profile of this important issue within government. The Committee also recommends that artists and cultural freelancers should be included in the ongoing feasibility studies for a basic citizens' income funded by the Scottish Government.

The Committee has also made recommendations for Creative Scotland to change the way it allocates funds by putting artists at the centre of its approach. The measures suggested by the Committee include incorporating peer review into its application processes; creating a tiered application process to reduce the burden on applicants who are unlikely to progress to later stages of the process; and introducing funding programmes, such as bursaries and stipends, aimed at supporting artists and arts organisations at different stages of their development. The Committee also makes a recommendation for the Scottish Funding Council, in conjunction with relevant partners, to ensure that artists in further and higher education are supported to gain the necessary business skills to support them to build a career as an artist.

The Committee's inquiry has highlighted that a sustainable arts funding system is one where all government portfolios are strategically aligned to fund the arts in a way that supports and delivers national outcomes. The Committee therefore recommends that the Scottish Government sets out its approach to funding its forthcoming culture strategy, including how this will be delivered across portfolios. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government should give serious consideration to setting a baseline target for national arts funding, on a cross-portfolio basis, above 1% of its overall budget.

The Committee's inquiry has also highlighted the complexity of public funding for the arts and the difficulty in accessing data to measure the impact of investment. The Committee has also highlighted the need to improve data on local authority culture spending. The Committee has therefore recommended that the Scottish Government establish an

independent national cultural observatory in consultation with local government, relevant agencies and stakeholders.

This inquiry has also highlighted the need for the Scottish Government to plan for known challenges to arts funding in the medium-term that threaten artists' ability to produce work and plan for the future, including Brexit and fluctuations in Creative Scotland's National Lottery income. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should set out its plans for protecting Creative Scotland's funding in the long-term before its existing commitments to protect Creative Scotland's budget expire. The Committee has also recommended continuing Scotland's participation in the Creative Europe Programme. The Committee's inquiry has highlighted possible avenues of additional funding that could be leveraged to address these challenges, such as a 'percentage for the arts' capital investment scheme.

A sustainable arts funding system is also one where the Scottish Government and local authorities work in partnership to support artists in all parts of Scotland. The Committee's inquiry has highlighted why the relationship between local and national government must therefore be re-set. The Committee has recommended that the Scottish Government work with local authorities to create a new policy framework to support the arts. The Committee recommends that the new framework should include a requirement for local authorities to plan for culture and to take account of local and national priorities in doing so. The Committee's view is that the Scottish Government should consider using new legislation, such as an 'Arts Act', to establish the new policy framework and to work with COSLA and local authorities in developing it. Other measures that the Scottish Government should take in resetting this relationship, include working with COSLA to jointly develop guidance for implementing the forthcoming culture strategy; and creating a refreshed approach for maintaining cultural venues across all parts of Scotland.

A sustainable arts funding system must also be one for all of Scotland. The geographical distribution of national arts funding therefore needs to be improved as a matter of priority. In this regard, the Committee recommends that Creative Scotland take action to ensure that its new funding approach improves on the current geographic spread of regular funded organisations. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government work with Creative Scotland to re-establish a programme of funding for regionally-based arts officers in local authority areas where Creative Scotland's investment is significantly below the Scottish average.

Recommendations

Investment in Scotland's Artists

- The Committee recommends that a new indicator is developed to measure the extent to which self-employed artists and cultural freelancers working in the arts and wider creative sector are paid a fair wage. [para 174]
- The Committee notes the steps taken by Creative Scotland to encourage the organisations and projects that it funds to adopt fair pay practices. The Committee recommends that a more robust approach is required from Creative Scotland and invites it to take action to address this issue. [para 175]
- The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland measures funding that is allocated to artists who are producing artistic work as a proportion of the total amount of funding for each grant that it awards. [para 176]
- The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland consider ways that the funding application process for its grants could be tiered to focus the early stages of the funding process on artistic merit and reduce the burden on applicants who are unlikely to progress to later stages of the application process. [para 188]
- The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland incorporate peer review into its application processes drawing on the experience from comparative countries and funding models highlighted in this report to ensure that diversity and fairness is built into the peer assessment process. [para 189]
- The Committee recommends that there should be no circumstances in which individual artists should be competing against network organisations for funding from Creative Scotland. [para 190]
- The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland should redesign its overall funding framework in a way that recognises and supports artists and arts organisations at different stages of their professional development. The Committee notes, in this regard, that this approach is used in other European countries, including France and Ireland and invites Creative Scotland to consider how comparative approaches, such as bursaries and 'stipends', could be used in Scotland. [para 199]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Funding Council in conjunction with relevant partners ensures that the outcome agreements it has with further and higher education institutes with regard to employability and career support is being applied to programmes relevant to the arts. [para 200]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government take steps to ensure that artists and cultural freelancers are included in the range of participants in the ongoing feasibility studies into a basic citizens income. [para 204]

The Current Funding Landscape

- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government articulate its spending plan for the forthcoming culture strategy, including what funding will be earmarked for the arts from other portfolios to deliver the national outcome on culture in a cross-

cutting way. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government should give serious consideration to the culture strategy being supported, on a cross-portfolio basis, by a baseline target for national arts funding above 1% of the Scottish Government's overall budget. [para 24]

- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should articulate a long-term strategy for protecting Creative Scotland's budget from fluctuations in National Lottery income and to do so before its existing commitment in the short-term to protecting Creative Scotland's budget expires. [para 43]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should seek to maintain Scotland's participation in the Creative Europe programme after the UK's withdrawal from the EU. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government should give consideration to arts funding as part of its consultation on the replacement of European Structural Funds after the UK's withdrawal from the EU. [para 52]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government, its agencies and local authorities need to reset their relationship in relation to arts and culture policy, including approaches to funding. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government adopt a proactive approach to re-engaging with all local authorities to discuss shared priorities for spending and to share best practice in leveraging investment in the arts. [para 70]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government establish an independent national cultural observatory in consultation with local government, relevant agencies and stakeholders. The purpose of the observatory should be to create and manage an open-source national data resource that will draw together existing information collated by all levels of government and relevant agencies to measure the spread and impact of the public funding of the arts across Scotland. [para 77]
- The Committee considers the data on local authority cultural expenditure to be inadequate as it may currently encompass culture, tourism, heritage and sport expenditure. The Committee also notes that local authorities diverge widely in their approach to cultural expenditure. The Committee recommends that culture spend is disaggregated and provided separately. [para 78]

Re-setting Local and National Policy alignment

- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should work in partnership with COSLA to create a new intergovernmental policy framework between local and national government to support the arts as part of its forthcoming culture strategy. The Committee recommends that the new framework should include a requirement for local authorities to plan for culture and to take account of local and national priorities in doing so. [para 95]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government consider introducing legislation, such as an 'Arts Act', to create the new policy framework and to work with COSLA and local authorities in creating it. If the Scottish Government considers legislation is not required to achieve this aim, the Committee invites the Scottish Government to explain why it holds this view in its response to the Committee's inquiry report. [para 96]

- The Committee recommends that the forthcoming culture strategy should be underpinned by guidance for local authorities on its implementation, which should be developed jointly with COSLA. [para 100]
- The Committee notes that city region deals vary in their approach to culture and recommends that this be revisited where possible. [para 107]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government work with Creative Scotland to re-establish a programme of funding for regionally-based arts officers where Creative Scotland's funding is significantly below the Scottish average in order to stimulate funding where there are relatively few applications at present, in order to support the arts in a sustainable way and to maximise return on public funding investment. [para 122]
- The Committee commends the success of the Place Programme and recommends that Creative Scotland should articulate to the Scottish Government and the Committee what measures are needed to deliver it with more local authorities and to strengthen its implementation in a way that embeds the benefits of investment for the long term. [para 123]
- The Committee considers it unacceptable that the regular funding round of 2018 did not improve the geographic distribution of regular funded organisations. The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland take action to ensure that its new funding approach improves on the current geographic spread of regular funded organisations. [para 124]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government articulate in its delivery plan for the forthcoming culture strategy how it will create and sustain momentum for its policy implementation in a cross-cutting way bringing onboard local authorities and relevant agencies, including addressing barriers to accessing culture such as transport provision and affordability. [para 138]
- The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government articulate its funding strategy for delivering the national outcome on culture across wider portfolios, particularly in priority policy areas, such as education and health. [para 139]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government make its existing commitment to “ensure every school pupil in Scotland is offered a year of free music tuition by the time they leave primary school” an indicator for the national outcome on culture within the National Performance Framework. [para 140]
- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government work with relevant partners to create a refreshed approach for maintaining cultural venues across all parts of Scotland in the forthcoming culture strategy, supported by a clear funding approach. This could include artists that have developed successful approaches to maintaining commercial and non-commercial cultural venues, social enterprises, COSLA and individual local authorities. [para 150]
- The Committee considers that the current approach to funding institutions of national significance (outwith the National Performing Companies and Collections) through the regular funding network is not sustainable. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should consider articulating a new, strategic approach to funding these institutions, by first identifying which institutions it considers should be afforded

this status, such as a national youth company, and secondly to identify how they can be funded in a sustainable way. [para 155]

- The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government investigate how a percentage for the arts policy could be established in Scotland to create additional investment in arts and culture and to embed it in planning for Scotland's creative future. This could be included in a future 'Arts Act'. [para 161]

Introduction

1. This report details the findings of the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee's ("the Committee") inquiry into arts funding. The Committee first considered issues relevant to this inquiry when it scrutinised Creative Scotland's handling of the Regular Funding 2018-21 round in 2018. Since then, the Scottish Government has formally consulted on a draft culture strategy and Creative Scotland has launched ongoing reviews into how it operates as an organisation and how it distributes funds. The Committee therefore decided to build on its earlier work by launching an inquiry into the funding of the arts more broadly at what it considers to be a pivotal moment.
2. The Committee published a call for evidence on 15 March 2019, which invited respondents to reflect on two overarching themes:
 - What would a sustainable model of arts funding look like; and
 - How should that funding be made available to artists?
3. The scope of the call for evidence was limited to the artforms supported by Creative Scotland, excluding television, film and gaming. The Committee considered the Scottish screen sector in detail in its inquiry earlier in this session of parliament, which is why it has not been considered in this report. The scope of this inquiry has also focused on the support available for artforms and not the 'creative industries', although the Committee recognises that the arts and creative industries often overlap in a funding and wider context.
4. The Committee received 69 responses from a range of individual artists and organisations, which were published on its website. The call for evidence also invited respondents to highlight international examples of best practice and the Committee commissioned Drew Wylie Projects Ltd to conduct international comparative research to inform this aspect of the inquiry. The research has been published on the Committee's webpage and the Committee is grateful to Drew Wylie Projects Ltd for its work in supporting the Committee's inquiry.
5. The issues highlighted in written evidence and the Committee's comparative research were scrutinised in detail over 7 evidence sessions. The Committee also undertook fact-finding visits to Ayr and Dunfermline where it spoke with artists at different stages of their careers, local authority representatives and people otherwise working in and with the arts to deepen its understanding of arts funding in Scotland. The Committee is grateful to Ayrshire College and the Fire Station Creative in Dunfermline who hosted these visits.
6. The Committee would like to thank everyone who participated in this inquiry, particularly those who are directly involved in producing artistic work. This report draws extensively on the evidence we have taken from Scotland's artists and arts organisations and seeks to identify a means of establishing a sustainable model of arts funding. Scotland is a creative country with huge potential to build on artistic excellence but to also improve the lives of its citizens through support for the arts and culture. The Committee presents its recommendations to the Scottish Government in this report, which highlight key measures for the Scottish

Government to take forward to ensure Scotland's provision of the arts and support for artistic excellence is supported in a sustainable way.

Fact-finding visits to Ayr and Dunfermline, June 2019



Part 1: The Current Funding Landscape


7. The Committee launched this inquiry with the aim of investigating how Scotland could strengthen the funding of the arts to make it sustainable for the long term. This section considers the sources of public funding at a national and local level, including additional sources of funding from the National Lottery and the European Union that are administered by public bodies in Scotland. It highlights some of the challenges that the Scottish Government will need to address in order to deliver a sustainable funding model and makes recommendations to the Scottish Government, and other public bodies, for how this could be achieved.

National Funding

Overview

8. The Scottish Government launched the current National Performance Framework in June 2018, which included a new national outcome on culture.¹ The National Performance Framework is intended to help focus the Scottish Government's activities and spending on outcomes. In this regard, the Scottish Government provides annual funding to support the work of public bodies that are responsible for preserving and promoting the arts and culture in Scotland.² In addition, the Scottish Government currently funds a number of initiatives that are administered on its behalf, such as the Expo Fund, the Youth Music Initiative, the Cashback for Creativity Fund and the International Creative Ambition Programme. The Scottish Government also currently provides funding to the youth orchestra charity Sistema Scotland.
9. The Committee considered budget lines relevant to the funding of the arts in the Scottish Government's budget since 2010-11. Figure 1 below shows an overview of the three main strands of Scottish Government funding for arts-related activities, including the National Performing Companies, Creative Scotland and the Cultural Collections. All real terms figures are calculated using HM Treasury deflators.

Figure 1: Scottish Government Creative Scotland, Cultural Collections and National Performing Companies Budget Lines (Level 3), 2010-11 to 2019-20, real terms (2019-20 prices)




Source: Scottish Government budgets and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.

10. This illustrates that the amount of funding allocated to arts-related activities is relatively small in terms of the Scottish Government’s overall budget (not reaching more than £200 million in the relevant period). It also highlights that for such a relatively small amount of funding, the budget in this portfolio is distributed via a considerably complex matrix of public bodies who use it to support a wide variety of artforms and activities. The relevant budget lines for the three main funding streams (Creative Scotland; National Performing Companies; and Cultural Collections) are considered in turn below.

11. The “Creative Scotland and Other Arts” is a level 3 budget line within the Scottish Government’s budget. Figure 2 below shows financial allocations for this budget line from 2010-11 to 2019-20.

Figure 2: Scottish Government – Creative Scotland and Other Arts Budget Line (Level 3), 2010-11 to 2019-20, real terms (2019-20 prices)




Source: Scottish Government budgets and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.

12. Figure 2 shows that Creative Scotland’s core revenue budget has reduced over the period by approximately £9.2m at 2019-20 prices. The Other Arts budget line includes revenue funding managed by Creative Scotland. Until recently, this tended to be ringfenced Scottish Government funding (e.g. the Youth Music Initiative). In recent years, this has included funding to make up for a shortfall in National Lottery funds and support for screen, which is reflected in the increase in overall funding in 2018-19. This is considered in more detail in the section on Creative Scotland’s funding below.


13. The Scottish Government also provides funding to Scotland’s cultural collections, which includes the National Galleries of Scotland,³ the National Museums of Scotland,⁴ the National Library of Scotland and the National Records of Scotland. Figure 3 below shows selected Level 4 budget lines for the cultural collections since 2012-13; and Figure 4 shows the level 3 cultural collection budget line since 2010-11.

Figure 3: Scottish Government – Cultural Collections Selected Budget Lines (Level 4 - not including non-cash or RCAHMS), real terms (2019-20 prices)



Source: Scottish Government budgets and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.

Figure 4: Scottish Government – Cultural Collections Budget Line (Level 3), 2010-11 to 2019-20, real terms (2019-20 prices)




Source: Scottish Government budgets and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.⁵

- Figure 3 does not show all lines within the Cultural Collections Level 4 budget, for example it does not show the non-cash budgets (depreciation) or the budget for Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland. Level 4 figures for the Cultural Collections were also not available before 2012-13, as they were for Level 3 in Figure 4. Between 2015-16 and 2016-17 some of the capital was allocated in the individual national collections, rather than in “Other Cultural Capital”. The figure for 2015-16 “Other Cultural Capital” was particularly high due to

costs for the V&A Dundee and other refurbishment projects. In terms of revenue, the national collections budgets have been stable with small cash terms increases, although this translates to real terms decreases of revenue funding for the National Galleries of Scotland, National Museum of Scotland and National Library of Scotland.

15. The Level 3 figures provided in Figure 4 (NB this includes more than just the Level 4 lines included in Figure 3) should be read in light of a discontinuity of funding in 2015-16 where the budget for the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland was included for the last time. The budget figures in Figure 4 for 2015-16 is also affected by the unusually high capital budget for that year, as noted above. Notwithstanding these changes, there was a fall in funding between 2010-11 and 2012-13. Since then, taking account of the removal of RCAHMS and the spike in 2015-16, funding has been reasonably stable in real terms.
16. The Scottish Government also funds the five National Performing Companies through annual funding and the International Touring Fund (of which the current funding commitment is £350,000).⁶ Figure 5 below shows the level 3 figures for the National Performing Companies dating back to 2010-11.

Figure 5: Scottish Government – National Performing Companies Budget Line (Level 3), 2010-11 to 2019-20, real terms (2019-20 prices)



Source: Scottish Government budgets and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.

17. There has been a reduction in funding of the National Performing Companies over the period. Some of this reduction, however, may be due to how and where capital funding is accounted. Some figures are reported differently in different budgets (e.g. the figure for allocation in 13-14) and this appears to be due to the treatment of capital budgets. Nonetheless, the National Theatre of Scotland noted in its written submission to the Committee the negative impact that existing funding levels are having on its provision—

” “The National Theatre of Scotland is in the privileged position of enjoying both an extremely positive relationship with government and also strong levels of financial support. Even given this, funding for the company has reduced by 21% since 2012 in real terms when actual reduction and inflation are taken into account. This comes at a time when costs have continued to rise, affecting our ability to make the sort of cultural provision we believe the Scottish people deserve.”⁷

18. The National Theatre of Scotland’s evidence echoes wider concerns expressed in the evidence submitted to the Committee’s inquiry about downward pressure on public finances,⁸ particularly the impact this has had on the arts and culture portfolio in Scotland in the past decade.⁹ The Federation of Scottish Theatre noted that national funding for the arts in Scotland is currently a very small proportion of overall spend, representing “...much less than 1% of the total budget”.¹⁰ **The Committee’s comparative research found in this regard that the UK as a whole spends a significantly lower proportion of GDP on culture than is the case in comparator EU countries.**¹¹




“The biggest single factor that would enable a sustainable funding model to be created would be more substantial funding for the Arts on a par with the % of GDP dedicated by probably every other European country”
Virginia Radcliffe, artist

19. In terms of what measures could be taken by the Scottish Government to maintain a sustainable level of funding for the arts, the Musicians’ Union suggested that the Scottish Government should aim to maintain funding levels in line with inflation,¹² whilst Neo Productions and the Traditional Music and Song Association of Scotland suggested that 1% of the Scottish Government’s budget should be ringfenced for spending on culture in line with the recommendation of the Cultural Commission (2005).¹³
20. The Cabinet Secretary explained to the Committee that she considers the purpose of public funding for the arts is to “...help to ensure that individual artists are supported to produce art for art’s sake, and...The other strand is to help to support excellence in art.”¹⁴ In relation to overall national spending on the arts, the Cabinet Secretary stated that “I would rather look at ceilings than floors. With baselines, there is a danger that somebody sitting in a finance department who looks at matters from a financial accounting perspective might say, “Well, that’s all you need, so that’s all you’re getting.” Maybe that is not the right approach.”¹⁵
21. The Cabinet Secretary also told the Committee that her priority is on overall government funding for the arts, not simply the amount that is funded directly through her portfolio—

- ” "I just want to ensure that there is funding. I am less concerned about where it comes from in the Government and more concerned about the total amount that I can get for all my areas. If I fought for the budget through a silo approach, to which Mike Rumbles referred, there would be a risk that I might end up with a bigger line on my budget but with a reduced contribution to the culture budget from other parts of the Government." ¹⁶
22. The Cabinet Secretary also suggested that the forthcoming culture strategy will not be supported by additional funding, noting—
- ” "I caution that the culture strategy will not involve a major funding announcement, as people might expect given the current constraints on budgets. However, it will be a statement of how we can work collectively across not just Government but local authorities, arts organisations and people outside the arts to leverage in more funding." ¹⁷
23. The Committee notes the real-terms reduction in funding for the arts and the relatively low proportion of GDP spend on the arts compared to other EU countries. The Committee considers that the forthcoming culture strategy should be supported by a spending plan and a clear cross-government approach to supporting the arts and culture.

24. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government articulate its spending plan for the forthcoming culture strategy, including what funding will be earmarked for the arts from other portfolios to deliver the national outcome on culture in a cross-cutting way. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government should give serious consideration to the culture strategy being supported, on a cross-portfolio basis, by a baseline target for national arts funding above 1% of the Scottish Government’s overall budget.**

Creative Scotland




“The budget allocated for public investment in the arts – especially for the government’s main cultural agency - is proportionally far below what cultural activity’s impact is on the economy and wellbeing of the country.”
Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland

25. The majority of respondents to the Committee’s call for evidence commented on the national funding that is distributed by Creative Scotland. Creative Scotland’s budget is made up of a grant in aid from the Scottish Government and from income generated by

sales from the National Lottery, which Creative Scotland distributes through its National Lottery Distribution Fund. The table below gives an overview of Creative Scotland’s real-terms grants from 2010-11 to 2018-19.

Figure 6: Creative Scotland Grants, 2010-11 to 2018-19, real terms (2018-19 prices)



Source: Creative Scotland Annual Reports and SPICe communication with the Scottish Government.

26. It should be noted that the activities funded by the grant in aid shown above cover the full breadth of Creative Scotland’s remit, which includes activities such as screen that are outwith the scope of this inquiry. In real terms, the overall grants issued by Creative Scotland have increased since 2010-11, although there have been fluctuations within the period. For example, total Creative Scotland grant funding reached a high in 2013-14 and the total grant funding in 2018-19 was £17.9m less than in 2013-14 in real terms (18-19 prices). The proportion of the grants distributed by Creative Scotland that are funded through the National Lottery increased between 2010 to 2013-14, but since then the trend has been downward. Accordingly, this fluctuation in the overall level of Creative Scotland grant is largely accounted for by the decline in National Lottery income.

Grant in aid funding

27. The values of grants funded through the Scottish Government’s grant in aid increased significantly in 2018-19. As noted in the previous section, the Level 4 data for the 2018-19 budget includes the following commentary explaining the increase in the Other Arts budget line—

”Increased investment in screen announced in Programme for Government; increased support for Sistema Scotland and maintaining funding for Youth Music Initiative, both in Year of Young People. Also includes additional funding to enable Creative Scotland to maintain its support for the Regular Funded programme in the light of significantly decreasing lottery income.”¹⁸

28. Iain Munro, then Acting Chief Executive of Creative Scotland, explained to the Committee the extent to which the Scottish Government has restricted the funding available to the organisation when he gave evidence on 2 May 2019—
- ” Our current income of £92 million a year consists of two parts: two thirds of it comes from grant in aid from the Scottish Government and a third of it comes from the national lottery. Of the two thirds from the Scottish Government, roughly half is restricted funds that are for specific purposes—I am referring to programmes that the Government wants us to run, such as the youth music initiative, the expo fund and the cashback for creativity programme. We use the other half of the grant in aid—the unrestricted funds—to support other activity. It is important to understand that 86 per cent of the unrestricted grant in aid is what currently funds 121 regularly funded organisations. That leaves very little room for manoeuvre in the current grant-in-aid balance in that equation, and it puts more pressure on national lottery funding.”¹⁹
29. The Scottish Contemporary Arts Network (“SCAN”) expressed concern about the increasing prevalence of the award of funding for the arts from Creative Scotland at standstill levels and the extent to which this is leading to a “...real concern among our members that they will reach breaking point. Standstill will become collapse.”²⁰ The Federation of Scottish Theatre also commented on the impact of real-terms decline in funding from Creative Scotland and how this is affecting the sustainability of the theatre sector, stating in its written submission that—
- ” More than two-thirds of our regularly-funded members received the same cash award from Creative Scotland for 2018-21 as they received for 2015-8, and for several this is the same cash amount as their grant in 2010 when Creative Scotland took over responsibility for funding. This is a real-terms cut of more than 25% in ten years and its impact on sustainability is palpable.”²¹
30. Some respondents commented that declining real-terms public funding for the arts in recent years has led to an unsustainable dependence by some artists and organisations in the sector on funding opportunities administered by Creative Scotland.²² The Ayr Gaiety Partnership noted in this regard—
- ” There are many organisations, and much cultural activity in Scotland, which is almost entirely dependent on public funding...The results of the recent RFO decision process are testament to this – where organisations that did not secure funding have either closed, or in some cases have been sustained through substitution of their funding from one Creative Scotland funding stream (RFO) to another (OPF or Touring Fund).”²³
31. The Committee is aware of the significant personal and professional impact that unsuccessful funding bids can have on artists and those who are employed by artistic companies. For example, David Leddy, theatre director of the Fire Exit production company, explained that his company ceased operating in June 2019 as a result of not retaining Creative Scotland funding.²⁴

National Lottery funding

32. The National Lottery was created in 1994 with the aim of supporting good causes across the UK in the arts, sport, heritage and community sectors. A proportion of its

proceeds are distributed through public bodies, including Creative Scotland, to achieve these aims.²⁵ The Scottish Government provides directives to Creative Scotland as to how proceeds from the National Lottery are to be distributed.²⁶ The National Lottery provides a substantial proportion of Creative Scotland's overall budget, representing 30% in 2018/19. As noted earlier, Creative Scotland's core grant is allocated towards its regular funding programme, whilst "...the two remaining funding routes we offer (Open Project Funding and Targeted Funding) are largely only possible through The National Lottery".²⁷

33. Some respondents, such as Dogstar Theatre, were critical of the Scottish Government's reliance on the National Lottery as a funding supplement to its own core grant contribution to Creative Scotland's budget, noting that—

” "The introduction of lottery funding in the late '90s was welcomed, by and large, but this has increasingly proved to be a sticking plaster, a fund which has enabled government to reduce its own tax-funded contribution to our arts production and infrastructure." ²⁸

34. The vulnerability of Creative Scotland's programme delivery to fluctuations in National Lottery income was demonstrated when the Scottish Government committed up to an additional £6.6 million to Creative Scotland to cover the potential shortfall in lottery funding for 2018-19.²⁹ In addition, the Scottish Government committed to taking "...the exceptional step of guaranteeing this core grant in aid and the additional £6.6 million for each of the next 3 financial years..."³⁰

35. Despite the short-term measures taken by the Scottish Government to protect the potential shortfall in Creative Scotland's income from the National Lottery, questions remain over the sustainability of Creative Scotland's funding package. Potential threats to the sustainability of this model that were highlighted to the Committee include increased competition in lottery sales and changes to lottery regulation,³¹ which is a reserved matter. In this regard, the National Lottery's licence holder, Camelot Ltd, responded to the Committee's call for evidence arguing that the UK Government's proposed reforms to society lottery regulation³² is "a major threat to sustainable funding of the arts in Scotland".³³ This view appears to be shared by Creative Scotland, which noted in its written evidence to the Committee that—

” "The National Lottery has recently been under challenge from competition from other lotteries, particularly Society Lotteries. This has led to fluctuations and volatility in the income being generated and subsequently distributed. The recent impact on Creative Scotland has been a fall in income from The National Lottery of some £6million." ³⁴

36. The People's Postcode Lottery, a society lottery, did not recognise this characterisation of the UK Government's proposed reforms. It stated in response to the Committee's call for views that "society lottery reform is not about competing with the National Lottery. It is about increasing the outdated annual sales limit contained in the 2005 Gambling Act..."³⁵ The People's Postcode Lottery noted that one of the charities under its umbrella, the Postcode Culture Trust, has given a total of £13.8m in funding to 55 organisations in Scotland.³⁶ The Committee is also

aware of funding that is awarded by other society lotteries and private trusts towards the arts and culture in Scotland. A key difference with the award of funding from Creative Scotland's National Lottery Distribution Fund, as opposed to society lotteries and private trusts, is that National Lottery funding must be awarded in accordance with policy directives from the Scottish Government and in line with the National Performance Framework more broadly.


37. The Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport ("DCMS") published the findings of its consultation on society lottery reform on 16 July 2019, in which it announced its intention to progress with its proposed reform of the regulation of large society lotteries. This will involve increasing the individual per draw sales limit from £4 million to £5 million; increasing the individual per draw prize limit from £400,000 to £500,000; and increasing the annual sales limit from £10 million to £50 million.³⁷ DCMS also stated its intention to run a further consultation to introduce a split tier licence for large society lotteries for an upper tier licence of £100 million.³⁸
38. Given these changes in the wider lottery market, some respondents to the Committee's call for views called for the Scottish Government to set out a long-term strategy to find a sustainable funding replacement for the National Lottery.³⁹ Aberdeen City Council suggested, for example, that the Scottish Government should consider establishing new investment funds to supplement or replace the National Lottery, such as through the Scottish Government's proposals for a Scottish National Investment Bank.⁴⁰ The potential opportunities presented by the Scottish National Investment Bank for innovative investment in the arts was also supported by other respondents to the call for evidence.⁴¹
39. The Scottish Government's draft Culture Strategy outlined a commitment to explore new funding models to support a sustainable culture sector, including through a Scottish National Investment Bank and devolved tax powers.⁴² The 2019-20 Programme for Government committed to making the Scottish National Investment Bank operational in 2020 and to "put the transition to net zero at the heart of the Scottish National Investment Bank's work".⁴³ The Economy, Energy and Fair Work Committee concluded in its stage 1 report on the Scottish National Investment Bank Bill, dated 4 July 2019, that "people have such high expectations for the Bank that it is unlikely it can deliver on everything to which its name has been speculatively attached before even a single mission is framed or its first investment made."⁴⁴
40. The Cabinet Secretary explained to the Committee that the Scottish National Investment Bank will play a limited role in creating a sustainable arts funding system for the future, noting—
 - ” We need to be clear that the Scottish national investment bank will operate on a commercial basis, so it will not fund on a grant basis and I expect that its support for the creative industries will be limited...I think that it was Aberdeen City Council that suggested that we could somehow replace national lottery funding with funding from the Scottish national investment bank. I thought that that was a really odd suggestion.”⁴⁵
41. The Cabinet Secretary noted the kinds of activity funded by the National Lottery is more likely to cover non-commercial activity, when she explained—

” "There is a spectrum of funding—it goes from what is commercial funding to funding art for art’s sake. In that spectrum, the national lottery would be sitting at one end, and anything that was obtained from the Scottish national investment bank would be at the other end for the more commercial creative industries. I therefore caution the committee about how it approaches that issue." ⁴⁶

42. The Committee welcomes the Scottish Government’s approach in the short-term to protect Creative Scotland’s budget from decline in National Lottery income over three financial years. The Committee is not clear from the Scottish Government’s evidence what other measures are being investigated to provide a sustainable funding model for the future in the event of continuing fluctuations in National Lottery income.

43. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should articulate a long-term strategy for protecting Creative Scotland’s budget from fluctuations in National Lottery income and to do so before its existing commitment in the short-term to protecting Creative Scotland’s budget expires.

European Funding



“Orkney has benefited a lot from European Union funding in various areas, including the arts, so the current situation is really worrying for me.”
Harry Josephine Giles, writer and performer

44. The Committee’s inquiry also considered the sources of funding available from EU programmes and how Brexit may impact on the sustainability of public funding for the arts. ⁴⁷ Direct and indirect funding of the arts comes from many European sources, including the Creative Europe Programme, Erasmus+ and European Structural and Investment Funds. In this regard, Creative Scotland commissioned UK-based consultancy company, Euclid, to identify EU-funded projects focused on or linked to the arts, media and creative industries in the last ten years. ⁴⁸ The report found that “two thirds of this funding had come from European structural funds rather than culture specific programmes”. ⁴⁹

45. Creative Scotland hosts the Scotland office of the Creative Europe Desk UK, which provides free information and advice to Scottish creative, cultural and heritage organisations on Creative Europe projects, partnerships and applications. The office

- also signposts potential applicants to information on other EU funding programmes such as Erasmus+, Europe for Citizens and Horizon 2020.⁵⁰
46. The Creative Europe Desk UK's written submission explained how EU programmes, such as the Creative Europe programme, provide opportunities to attract additional investment in Scotland's artists.⁵¹ In this regard, the submission underlined that the Creative Europe programme follows the subsidiarity principle, such that "it extends and adds value to national support". Accordingly, the funding available from the programme "...will always require national co-funding sources". The submission also noted that it is "...important that local, regional and national funding institutions respond to multilateral programmes such as Creative Europe, and understand the leveraging effect of enabling organisations to put themselves forward for these pan European initiatives..."⁵²
47. Many respondents to the Committee's inquiry, including the Creative Europe Desk UK, expressed support for Scotland remaining part of the Creative Europe programme and other relevant EU funding programmes if the UK leaves the EU.⁵³ Non-EU countries are able to participate in the Creative Europe programme if they meet certain conditions.⁵⁴ The Creative Europe Desk UK noted in this regard that it has been working with the DCMS to assist them in pursuing the option for the UK to continue participating in the Creative Europe programme after Brexit.⁵⁵
48. Non-EU countries are not able to benefit from European Structural and Investment Funds and for this reason the UK Government proposes to replace this source of funding after Brexit with a new UK-wide programme, the Shared Prosperity Fund. Creative Scotland emphasised to the Committee in its written submission that "...any UK Government funding that replaces EU structural funding is very important to the creative sectors in Scotland."⁵⁶ This view was shared by other stakeholders, such as the Traditional Music and Song Association of Scotland which highlighted that the potential loss of access to this funding could result in a real-terms decrease in available funding because the amount currently invested in the UK is "proportionally greater in return than the proportion of the funding the UK contributes".⁵⁷
49. Creative Scotland has also argued that the existing level of funding available through the European Structural and Investment Funds should continue after Brexit—
- ” "We strongly advocate that post-Brexit structural funds should, as a minimum, match this current contribution for cultural activity in Scotland. We do, however, believe there is an opportunity for development through establishing priorities and models of support which allow for arts and culture to play a greater role in tackling inequality and supporting inclusive growth."⁵⁸
50. Creative Scotland has expressed concern over the lack of clarity on what the replacement scheme, the Shared Prosperity Fund, will entail, noting that—
- ” "Exactly what this fund would be and how it will operate has been difficult to establish and Creative Scotland and the UK Arts Councils have been maintaining a close dialogue to share intelligence on any proposals from the UK Government that continue or replace EU funding."⁵⁹

51. The Scottish Government launched a consultation on its priorities for the Shared Prosperity Fund after the UK's withdrawal from the EU on 5 November 2019.⁶⁰ The Cabinet Secretary told the Committee in relation to Brexit that—

”My biggest immediate concern is that programmes that are live just now should continue to be funded. I will do what I can, as part of our Brexit planning, but it would be wrong of the Scottish Government to say that it will be able to mitigate the worst excesses of the UK Government's actions in not providing detail.”⁶¹

52. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should seek to maintain Scotland's participation in the Creative Europe programme after the UK's withdrawal from the EU. The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government should give consideration to arts funding as part of its consultation on the replacement of European Structural Funds after the UK's withdrawal from the EU.**

Local Government Funding



“The wide differences in the priority placed on arts and culture in different parts of Scotland can also be a problem for those in areas where no local authority support is available.”

Mary Bourne, artist

53. Local government plays an essential role in the funding of the arts. Local authorities are tasked with interpreting national policy in a local context to deliver cultural services in a way that is responsive to local needs and circumstances. The funding landscape for the arts and culture at a local authority level has been complicated by many factors, including differing interpretations of the duties on local authorities to provide cultural services; the creation of arms-length external organisations ('ALEOs') by many local authorities to deliver cultural services; and the impact of wider pressures on local authority finances on policy delivery. These issues are considered in turn in light of overall trends in local government spend for culture and related services since 2011-12.

Statutory framework and responses to ring-fencing

54. The statutory framework that underpins local authorities' duties in respect of cultural provision is complex. Generally speaking, local authorities are required to make adequate provision for culture and related services. The 2003 guidance that accompanied the then Scottish Executive' culture strategy set out the four main statutes that were relevant to 'adequate provision', including Public Libraries

Consolidation (Scotland) Act 1887; Local Government and Planning Act (Scotland) 1982; Local Government (Scotland) Act 1994; and Local Government in Scotland Act 2003.⁶² Since then, Part 2 (sections 15 to 19) of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003, relating to Community Planning, has been replaced by Part 2 of the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015. The Scottish Government has also implemented a policy of public service reform in light of recommendations made by the Christie Commission in 2011 and the launch of a refreshed National Performance Framework.

55. Many respondents to the call for evidence considered that 'adequate provision' is a subjective term, which has made the leisure and culture portfolio vulnerable to funding cuts.⁶³ Indeed, this issue is not new and was recognised in the implementation guidance for local authorities that accompanied the then Scottish Executive's National Cultural Strategy in 2000. The guidance stated—

” "In some respects, the legislation is vague in relation to the principal duties and powers, and, in particular, relating to 'adequate provision'. As a result, it is believed that there is variation between individual local authorities – which have interpreted it differently, in accordance with their own policy priorities and resource availability."⁶⁴

56. The then guidance provided some direction to local authorities about how to ensure cultural provision was 'adequate' and therefore in line with their statutory duties. Examples of the measures that local authorities were suggested to take included the development of an authority-wide culture strategy and service-specific plans.⁶⁵

There is no Scottish Government guidance currently available⁶⁶ as to how local authorities should interpret 'adequate provision' and in this respect the local-national policy framework appears to be unclear. This is considered in more detail in the following chapter of this report.

57. The interplay between local authorities' statutory duties, the local government settlement and the funding allocated by local authorities to specific policy areas, including the arts, was an issue raised by respondents to the inquiry. The Committee notes in this regard that the Scottish Government's definition of 'ring-fencing' in the context of the local government settlement is different to COSLA's definition of 'non-discretionary' or 'protected spend'.⁶⁷ Notwithstanding this, the Committee heard contrasting views on how local authorities could best utilise their budgets to support the arts and cultural provision.

58. Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee, told the Committee for example that being outside protected funding put the arts and culture at a 'disadvantage'.⁶⁸ Glasgow Life agreed that protecting the spending of other portfolios means that the funds available for the arts "...have been disproportionately affected".⁶⁹ It cited Canada and Singapore as international examples where cultural spending has been ring-fenced successfully.⁷⁰ Leonie Bell, Paisley Partnership Strategic Lead for Renfrewshire Council, argued against ring-fencing of arts funding on the basis that it is difficult to define what is meant by the arts and culture in a spending context. In her view, "we need to start with the ambition, the strategy and the policy before we look at budget mechanisms".⁷¹

59. The Cabinet Secretary told the Committee that she remains open to working with local authorities on support for the arts. The Cabinet Secretary stated—

” I am very keen to meet and encourage all the local authority culture conveners. I need COSLA to engage with me on that, but I have found it to be an increasingly frustrating and difficult exercise. I will keep pursuing that. If we work together in tandem, we can do great things, even in difficult times. It is not all about the level of funding; it is about political will as well.”⁷²

ALEOs and the delivery of cultural services

60. Another issue raised by respondents to the inquiry was the impact of ALEOs on funding for the arts at a local government level. A report by the Audit Commission estimates that approximately 20 councils have created an ALEO to deliver cultural services, 13 of which have a joint leisure and culture remit.⁷³ A major incentive for creating ALEOs to deliver cultural services appears to be cost saving, which can be made through levers, such as rates relief. Stewart Murdoch, Director of the Leisure and Culture Dundee ALEO, told the Committee “there is no question but that it has enabled the City of Dundee Council to make significant savings.”⁷⁴ This view was also expressed by the Barclay Review, which found that the ALEO model “...allows councils to gain additional funding from the Scottish Government outwith the usual funding arrangements, a fact that was acknowledged by councils themselves as one of the primary reasons they put services into ALEO status in the first place”.⁷⁵



“The introduction of Cultural Trusts in recent years has also had a significant impact on wider arts funding in Scotland. The devolved responsibility of managing these services from local authorities has meant that once well-established support from arts services for artists, organisations and the work they make has been eroded.” **Starcatchers**

61. The Committee also became aware of potential issues that the ALEO model can give rise to, such as local accountability over policy priorities and spending. In this regard, Stewart Murdoch told the Committee—

” "If the ALEO is not represented on the council’s management team, the leader of the ALEO will not be at the table when the other chief officers have a discussion about budget setting or priorities, and they will not have influence. In the case of Dundee City Council and Glasgow City Council—I am not sure about the situation in other councils—the ALEO is considered. The leader of the ALEO is part of the council’s management team, as well as running the ALEO. There is a visible tension, because the ALEO is independent. It is challenging to take part in those discussions and to separate oneself from that when it comes to the delivery end of the ALEO, but that dual role is important in the ALEO model. Without it, there would be no one in the local authority management team advocating for investment in culture." ⁷⁶

62. The Committee did not have time within the scope of this inquiry to consider the impact of ALEOs on arts funding and the extent to which any savings made through the use of this model have been reinvested in the arts specifically, as opposed to other policy areas. It noted, however, that national data analysing the savings (or otherwise) derived from ALEOs was not readily accessible. The Committee is not aware of any Scottish Government guidance on the issues highlighted in evidence about local authorities’ management structure in respect of ALEOs. ⁷⁷


63. The Cabinet Secretary noted that the development of ALEOs and their impact with regard to the governance of local services is not a new issue, as it was the subject of a previous parliamentary committee in Session 4. ⁷⁸ The Cabinet Secretary explained that whilst she has had positive engagement with ALEOs, through the umbrella body VOCAL, difficulties in ascertaining how cultural provision is being made at a local authority level through ALEOs persist—

” "I prefer to support people who want to do things. There is a danger in that regard: some local authorities have absolutely decimated their culture funding, while others—East Ayrshire Council, Perth and Kinross Council and Stirling Council—have had positive experiences. It is hard to tell what local authorities are doing because so many of them now work through trusts." ⁷⁹

Local government spend for culture and related services 2011/12 – 2017/18

64. The figures below show the general trend in local government spend for culture and related services since 2011-12—

Figure 7: Culture and Related Services: Net Revenue Expenditure, 2011-12 to 2017-18, real terms (2017-18 prices)



Source: 2011-12 to 2017-18 Local Financial Returns (LFR 02) HMT Deflators Sept 2019.

65. Figure 7 above shows decreasing real terms net expenditure by local authorities across culture and related services. It also highlights the relatively small amount spent on libraries, as well as cultural and heritage policy, as compared with recreation and sport. **The budget line for cultural and heritage spend are very broad in terms of the types of activity that are included within them and so it is difficult to ascertain from local authority budget figures exactly how much is being spent on the arts directly.**
66. It should also be noted that Figure 7 presents net expenditure, which is the amount of spend funded by the general revenue grant from the Scottish Government, non-domestic rates income, council tax receipts, and reserves. It does not include expenditure funded through fees or charges. As such, it is not clear from these figures alone whether any decrease in local authority expenditure is being met by increased charges for services. As noted earlier in this report, the figures also do not take account of the impact of ALEOs across Scotland and what impact, if any this has had on spending on the arts and culture in the relevant period.


Incomplete data on local authority expenditure on culture

67. The Committee also examined the relative spending of local authorities on culture and related services per head of population. Figure 8 below provides an illustrative snapshot of local authority spend per head of population in 2017-18 (covering spending on Culture and Heritage, Tourism, Recreation and Sport and Library Services). The snapshot shows that, if you were to analyse the available data, it provides an incomplete representation of expenditure by local authorities on culture. The Committee recognises that there are distinctly different contexts in which local authorities undertake cultural expenditure. For example, Edinburgh has a number of

nationally funded institutions, whereas Glasgow supports a range of cultural institutions with a national reach.

68. The type of budget data shown in Figure 8 is routinely used to measure and monitor local authority spending on culture, yet the data itself does not provide a clear or accurate picture of this spending. This is because it includes a wide variety of activities included in the figures (such as those relating to tourism, recreation and sport), as highlighted in Figure 7.

'Example of Incomplete Data' - Figure 8: Per Capita Net Revenue Expenditure on Culture and Related Services by Local Authorities in 2017-18



Source: National Records of Scotland, [Mid-2017 population estimates Scotland](#) and [Question S5W-22384: Angela Constance, Almond Valley, Scottish National Party, Date Lodged: 27/03/2019 Answered by Fiona Hyslop \(10/04/2019\)](#).

69. Notwithstanding the issue of data quality, the Committee’s inquiry has highlighted the significant disparity in funding and approaches to supporting the arts across Scotland’s local authorities. Indeed, the Cabinet Secretary described the level of disparity in funding between local authorities as “quite striking”.⁸⁰ The Committee considers that this situation threatens the sustainability of support for the arts across all parts of Scotland and must be addressed.

70. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government, its agencies and local authorities need to reset their relationship in relation to arts and culture policy, including approaches to funding. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government adopt a proactive approach to re-engaging with all local authorities to discuss shared priorities for spending and to share best practice in leveraging investment in the arts.**

Data to support evidence-based policy making

71. It has become apparent in the course of this inquiry that it is difficult to find accessible data that presents an authoritative picture of arts funding at a local and national level. The Committee was informed that individual artists and arts organisations have therefore carried the burden of demonstrating the impact of and outcomes of arts funding in circumstances where an overarching data framework or local and national open-source data resources is lacking.⁸¹ It was also noted that extensive data is collected by Creative Scotland (in addition to other public funders) but that it does not have the capacity to “mine the data and learn from it”.⁸² This has resulted in a number of individual projects being established to address the data gap in a piecemeal way.⁸³
72. Scotland is not alone in facing this challenge, as the Committee found from its comparative research that other European countries have established cultural observatories that are specifically tasked with addressing this issue, such as France and Ireland. The Committee also received evidence from the Creative Europe Desk UK that there is a proposal for the next EU multi-annual financial framework to establish a European observatory for Culture and Creative Sectors “to collect much needed data and statistics”.⁸⁴
73. The Committee was informed that Scotland already benefits from relevant expertise and resources that could be pooled together to address this issue, including (but not limited to) the Scottish Data Lab, the School of Informatics at the University of Edinburgh, and the Scottish Funding Council.⁸⁵ It was also noted that the Scottish Government could “become a world leader in how culture works” if it was to build on the use of existing data planning tools from elsewhere, such as WhiteBox,⁸⁶ to support the better data and evidence-based policy making.⁸⁷
74. The Scottish Government has expressed an intention to use culture to deliver wider policy outcomes in the National Performance Framework in a cross-cutting way.⁸⁸ Whilst this intention is welcomed, it will add another level of complexity to the funding environment meaning that it may be difficult to measure spend and outcomes without better and more accessible data. In this regard, the Scottish Government’s draft Culture Strategy included a commitment to monitor the impact of the Strategy through the establishment of a Measuring Change Group.
75. The Cabinet Secretary noted that she was not able to make policy announcements during the purdah period, but underlined that within the draft culture strategy “...the strand on how we can measure performance and change was a strong one.”⁸⁹ The

Cabinet Secretary also reflected on the evidence from Irish Arts Council and the Committee's comparative research and noted—

” "I was interested to note that the data gathering and observation that take place in Ireland, which are funded by the Irish Research Council, will be independent of Government. Each country will do these things in a way that suits it, but I am interested in that direction of travel." ⁹⁰

76. The Committee's inquiry has highlighted the complex local and national funding framework that supports the arts in Scotland. The National Performance Framework places outcomes at the heart of Scottish policy-making and good quality and accessible data will be key to measuring progress and demonstrating impact. The Committee welcomes the Scottish Government's proposal to establish a Measuring Change Group to ensure that the impact of the forthcoming culture strategy is captured and measured accordingly.

77. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government establish an independent national cultural observatory in consultation with local government, relevant agencies and stakeholders. The purpose of the observatory should be to create and manage an open-source national data resource that will draw together existing information collated by all levels of government and relevant agencies to measure the spread and impact of the public funding of the arts across Scotland.

78. The Committee considers the data on local authority cultural expenditure to be inadequate as it may currently encompass culture, tourism, heritage and sport expenditure. The Committee also notes that local authorities diverge widely in their approach to cultural expenditure. The Committee recommends that culture spend is disaggregated and provided separately.

Part 2: Re-Setting Local & National Policy Alignment

79. The previous section outlined the existing funding environment and the challenges that must be faced in order to maintain a sustainable arts funding system for the long-term. In this restrained funding environment, the Committee investigated the extent to which national and local government have developed and deployed coherent strategies to articulate their investment priorities and identify additional funding opportunities to maximise and strengthen investment in the arts. This section highlights different loci through which local and national co-ordination occurs and considers means by which this could be strengthened.

Embedding the arts in local priorities and policy-making

80. The National Performance Framework recognises the important role that local government plays in delivering the national performance outcomes. It states that “To achieve the national outcomes, the National Performance Framework aims to get everyone in Scotland to work together. This includes: national and local government...”⁹¹ The delivery plan also notes that, at a local level, community planning partnerships will develop local outcomes improvement plans.⁹² However, the underlying legislation falls short in requiring community planning partnerships to take account of national policy and priorities in the development of these plans.⁹³


81. Audit Scotland’s Local Government in Scotland report recognises the wider role that local authorities play in delivering national outcomes, whilst acknowledging the pressure on them to deliver more for less—

” Scottish Government revenue funding to councils has reduced in real terms between 2013/14 and 2019/20, while national policy initiatives continue to make up an increasing proportion of council budgets. This reduces the flexibility councils have for deciding how they plan to use funding. At the same time, demand for council services are increasing from a changing population profile. All councils expect an increase in the proportion of people aged over 65 and almost a third of councils expect an increase in the proportion of children under 15.”⁹⁴

82. The Cabinet Secretary reiterated in this regard that public funding “...is under pressure for us and for everybody”,⁹⁵ and noted the impact that budgets the Scottish Government have protected are having on culture—

”...the health budget has been protected, as has the policing budget in the justice portfolio. Because the health budget is so big, all non-protected budgets have had to be reduced to help to continue the support for the health budget in pressured times. That is the reality.”⁹⁶


Local cultural strategies



“The idea of co-ordinated strategies sounds brilliant. ...if we hone in on what a strategy is, it will be easier for people to co-ordinate.” **Emma Jayne Park, dancer and theatre-maker**

83. The essential role that local government plays in delivering cultural provision has been recognised since the beginning of devolution. The Scottish Executive’s first culture strategy dated 2000, *Creating our Future...Minding our Past*, noted in this regard that “local authorities are responsible for the majority of public support for cultural provision and guidance”.⁹⁷ The guidance that accompanied the then national strategy noted that local authorities should take action to implement the strategy and develop their own “single authority-wide cultural strategy and consider service-specific plans relating to key areas of provision” in order to ensure that they met their statutory duties.⁹⁸
84. In the course of its inquiry, the Committee was informed that some local authorities have developed, or are in the process of developing, culture strategies and that this was viewed generally as a positive policy and budgetary tool.⁹⁹ In some cases, such as Renfrewshire and Dundee, the development of a cultural strategy appears to have been strongly influenced by the bidding process for the UK City of Culture scheme.¹⁰⁰ Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee, noted that the development and implementation of a local culture strategy has been very effective in mainstreaming the arts and culture into wider strategic decision-making in Dundee—
- ” “The one thing that Dundee has done is maintain a cultural strategy... since Government guidance about putting in place such strategies was published. That has helped us. We report our cultural strategy to the Dundee partnership, which is the local community and planning partnership for the city. For more than 15 years, we have been reporting to the community planning partnership on the strategic decisions and our action plan. There is no security for that, which is why it is not common across Scotland. However, it has been really helpful to have that focus, which is reported to the council and its strategic partners.”¹⁰¹
85. The Committee also received evidence of other initiatives to develop local culture strategies, such as in Aberdeen. Culture Aberdeen, which is a network of local organisations, worked with Aberdeen City Council to develop a ten-year culture strategy for the city. Culture Aberdeen explained in written evidence that this strategy “...has been developed within the context of relevant local and national strategies, including the emerging National Cultural Strategy, the Local Outcome Improvement Plan, the revised National Performance Framework, and Creative

Scotland’s strategy”.¹⁰² The Committee also heard that Dumfries and Galloway is also currently developing a culture strategy.¹⁰³

86. The Committee also undertook two fact-finding visits to Ayr and Dunfermline to understand how culture and the arts is supported at a local level. It was evident from these visits that local priorities play a significant role in shaping funding opportunities for the arts and culture. Fife, for example, has outsourced its cultural services delivery to an ALEO, OnFife. It has a local cultural strategy, which was ‘driven by the Fife Cultural Consortium, a diverse group of 300 members from across its cultural, health, economic and community planning spectrums...’¹⁰⁴ One of the three arms of the strategy is focused on “Strengthening and Developing Fife’s Creative Economy”¹⁰⁵ and the Committee was informed by some artists during its visit to Dunfermline that the implementation of the local culture strategy appears to prioritise funding of the arts where there are demonstratable outcomes for supporting and growing tourism.¹⁰⁶
87. It was also clear to the Committee from both visits the impact that local champions of the arts were having on their community. The Committee learned during its visit to Dunfermline, for example, how individual artists in Dunfermline were instrumental in developing the Fire Station Collective, an innovative model for supporting and showcasing local artists, whilst also providing a unique cultural venue for the benefit of local people.¹⁰⁷ In Ayr, the Committee witnessed how Ayrshire College is providing opportunities for young graduates to pursue artistic careers through free rehearsal space and careers guidance.¹⁰⁸ The Committee also saw the significant positive impact that individual mentors can have on young artists in their community when it met with young sculptors and visual artists and their mentors in Ayr.
88. In neither area, however, was it clear to the Committee how the local authorities’ priorities for arts funding were influenced by or related to the national priorities for the arts and culture, as articulated in the National Performance Framework or Creative Scotland’s 10-year strategy “Unlocking Potential – Embracing Ambition”. The Committee considered this issue in more detail in an evidence session on 27 June.¹⁰⁹ Leonie Bell, Paisley Partnership Strategic Lead for Renfrewshire Council, told the Committee at this meeting that more could be done to develop relationships between local and national government around the National Performance Framework in relation to the national outcome on culture—
-  “Perhaps there is a bit of work to do with the [national] outcome and how to develop the relationships or the framework around it, rather than creating another system—another set of agreements and structures.”¹¹⁰
89. In this regard, Gary Cameron, Head of Place Partnerships for Creative Scotland, suggested a more robust approach is required to mandate that local authorities should plan for and articulate their priorities for culture—

- ” "I think that the first step would be to put in place the principle that local authorities are mandated to plan for culture and for them to articulate their priorities. That has been the first step taken in other countries, including in France, where there is a requirement for authorities to have a cultural strategy to show how they are considering culture. We would then consider how Creative Scotland and other national bodies could collaborate to help to deliver that." ¹¹¹
90. This approach has been embedded within the Irish funding model in which local authorities are required by statute to have an arts plan. ¹¹² This statutory requirement is supported by framework agreements, as noted by Director Orlaith McBride when she gave evidence to the Committee—
- ” "At the national level, there is the County and City Management Association for all local authorities in the country, of which there are 31. We have a memorandum of understanding at the highest level with that management association, and we have a framework agreement with every local authority at an individual level, which is signed by me and the chief executive—not the arts officer—of the local authority. The agreement is very much embedded in the local authority's work." ¹¹³
91. This framework also provides a basis on which to determine respective responsibilities and priorities between local and national partners, as well as helping to identify opportunities for local and national co-funding—
- ” “There will be areas of responsibility that are really important at the local level that the Arts Council, as a national agency, might not be that interested in. There will also be particular programmes—for example, development initiatives such as work with older people or young people, or work in very rural or disadvantaged communities—that we are really interested in, because they align with our strategy, and we would co-fund them.” ¹¹⁴
92. The Irish example of local and national policy coordination highlights a wider trend in the Committee's comparative research, which concluded that the strategic alignment of national and local government priorities is very important to the funding of the arts, noting that "countries do not consider national arts funding in isolation from local governance". ¹¹⁵
93. The Cabinet Secretary told the Committee that she would welcome a framework of understanding akin to the Irish model, noting "that is what we did with the public libraries national strategy". ¹¹⁶ The Cabinet Secretary explained there are differences between the Irish and Scottish context, including the fact that the Irish framework has "...underpinning legislation [that] allows ministerial direction of local authorities in relation to culture, which we do not have." In the Cabinet Secretary's view, "The political context of our relationship with local government is such that, at this time, I do not think that local authorities, or even some parties in the Parliament, would accept something as direct as a memorandum and framework." ¹¹⁷
94. The Committee considers that the existing policy framework for establishing the respective roles of local and national government in funding the arts, including opportunities for co-funding, is not working well. It notes the positive outcomes that

have come from taking a more structured approach to intergovernmental working through the development of the public libraries national strategy.

95. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should work in partnership with COSLA to create a new intergovernmental policy framework between local and national government to support the arts as part of its forthcoming culture strategy. The Committee recommends that the new framework should include a requirement for local authorities to plan for culture and to take account of local and national priorities in doing so.**
96. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government consider introducing legislation, such an ‘Arts Act’, to create the new policy framework and to work with COSLA and local authorities in creating it. If the Scottish Government considers legislation is not required to achieve this aim, the Committee invites the Scottish Government to explain why it holds this view in its response to the Committee’s inquiry report.**

Community planning

97. Another area in which culture and investment in the arts could be embedded into strategic planning at a local authority level highlighted to the Committee was in community planning. This policy area, more than any other relevant to arts funding, has arguably undergone the most change in the past decade. Yet it appears that opportunities have been missed in this process to embed the arts within wider public policy making. Gary Cameron, Head of Place Partnerships for Creative Scotland, noted in this regard that Creative Scotland is not a statutory partner in community planning and considered that making this change would strengthen the support for the arts and culture at a local level.¹¹⁸ This suggestion was welcomed by Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee.¹¹⁹
98. The Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 requires community planning partnerships to develop local outcome improvement plans, but gives the Scottish Government no role to require those plans to reflect any nationally-imposed priorities.¹²⁰ Mr Cameron was critical of this approach, arguing in the absence of a statutory requirement for culture to be considered a priority in the development of these plans that—

”The extent to which culture is represented when different groups get together to consider the priorities for the region and develop the local outcomes improvement plans varies.”¹²¹
99. This issue was also raised by Aberdeen City Council in its written submission, which called for guidance on how the new outcome on culture and associated indicators should be incorporated into local outcomes improvement plans and whether “...that should have a statutory impact on local authority culture spending”.¹²²

100. **The Committee recommends that the forthcoming culture strategy should be underpinned by guidance for local authorities on its implementation, which should be developed jointly with COSLA.**

Intergovernmental funding opportunities - city region deals

101. The Committee received evidence highlighting how local and national policy coordination could support the additional funding of the arts in wider policy development, such as city region deals. City region deals are agreements between the Scottish Government, the UK Government and local government designed to bring about long-term strategic approaches to improving regional economies.¹²³ The Committee was informed by witnesses that culture can be successfully incorporated into city region deals to create additional funding for the arts, but this opportunity does not appear to have been capitalised on in all deals concluded to date. Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee, told the Committee how the Tay cities region deal supports investment in culture—

” "...the Tay cities [region] deal is very much about cultural tourism... It is not about cultural development or art as development but is linked absolutely to ensuring that the investment that Perth and Kinross Council and Dundee City Council in particular have put into culture and regeneration is fully exploited and developed." ¹²⁴

102. Mr Murdoch also noted that the announcement of investment resulting from the Tay cities region deal has ‘...energised artists, the governance bodies of arts organisations and the collaboration between the local authorities in the Tay cities [region] deal’.¹²⁵ Jude Henderson, Director of the Federation of Scottish Theatre, was also supportive of the additional investment created by the Tay cities region deal, noting that the Pitlochry Festival Theatre has secured a significant amount of funding through it.¹²⁶

103. Despite the opportunities for investing in culture identified in the Tay Cities Region Deal, Leonie Bell, Paisley Partnership Strategic Lead for Renfrewshire Council, noted that this has not been capitalised on in all cases, when she told the Committee—

” "We are part of the Glasgow city region city deal, but culture does not feature in that in the way that it does in the Tay cities [region] deal; it does not really feature in the Edinburgh and south-east Scotland city region deal, either." ¹²⁷

104. Ms Bell noted that a greater focus was placed on tourism in the Glasgow city region deal and there may be scope to consider "the role of culture within tourism" in that context.¹²⁸ The Committee heard mixed views from artists and other participants in its fact-finding visit to Fife on the extent to which tourism creates opportunities for funding the arts. It was noted that any funding plan should not detract from funding opportunities for the benefit of local artists and residents.¹²⁹

105. Gary Cameron, Head of Place Partnerships at Creative Scotland, agreed that city region deals can provide opportunities for additional arts funding, but emphasised that they must be relevant to the local area's strategic priorities and this requires considered leadership—

” "With the city region deals, the issue is leadership, and the same principle applies in the context of culture. That involves looking at how culture and the arts are considered as part of the economic development of the region. An understanding needs to be developed of the fact that, although they might not be the primary driver, they can have a positive economic, social and cultural impact." ¹³⁰

106. The Cabinet Secretary acknowledged the role that culture can play in city region deals when she gave evidence to the Committee, noting that "...local authorities in different areas see culture provision as an important part of those deals". ¹³¹

107. **The Committee notes that city-region deals vary in their approach to culture and recommends that this be revisited where possible.**

Creative Scotland and local-national coordination



“Cultural officers and support are lacking within communities.” **Bryan, Ayrshire College**

108. A key issue raised in the evidence received last year on Creative Scotland's handling of regular funding was the geographic reach of national arts funding and the fact that this had not improved since the previous funding round. ¹³² The Committee therefore considered this issue in more detail by examining the wider approach being taken by Creative Scotland to work with local authorities and its capacity to address gaps in provision.

109. The main locus for Creative Scotland's strategic working with local authorities is its Place Partnerships Programme (hereafter 'place programme'). Local authorities are able to apply to participate in the programme on an invited basis. The programme is a development fund that is delivered over a fixed period of time as part of Creative Scotland's targeted funding stream and is being, or has been, implemented in a total of 16 local authorities. ¹³³ The Committee considered the programme in the context of local and national policy coordination during its meeting on 26 June 2019. The Place Programme received praise from witnesses who gave evidence in this

meeting, such as Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee, who noted—

” I would say that the place programme is almost unquestionably one of the most flexible, helpful and developmental funding programmes that I have been associated with. I would give it straight As: our experience was excellent.”¹³⁴

110. Leonie Bell, Paisley Partnership Strategic Lead for Renfrewshire Council, agreed that the programme has delivered benefits for local authorities when she explained the impact it is currently having in Renfrewshire—

” The place partnership has been extremely important. From what we call our big legacy money, we have put in £200,000, which has been matched by Creative Scotland.”¹³⁵

111. David McDonald, Arts Development Director of DG Unlimited, also agreed that “the place partnership programme is one of the most significant initiatives to come out of the national body for the arts for quite some time.”¹³⁶

112. There was consensus from the witnesses who gave evidence to the Committee on 26 June that the Place Programme needs to develop in a way that creates a long-term plan for embedding the benefits derived from the programme’s delivery.¹³⁷ Stewart Murdoch explained in this regard—

” ...the place programme funding was great, but when it stops, it is a hard landing. We have worked really hard to maintain the partners’ commitment to the place partnership. Dundee City Council is under financial pressures, the leisure and culture trust has run a deficit for the past two years, and the universities are under financial pressures. They are saying, “If we’re not getting anything back from the Government, why should we put in our bit?” We have managed to persuade them to stick with the level of investment that they put in during the place partnership for another three years, but there is a real question about incentivising investment in culture-led regeneration.”¹³⁸

113. David McDonald agreed with Stewart Murdoch, noting that “Phase 2 is really important, because—as Stewart Murdoch said—there is a sudden stop.”¹³⁹ Mr McDonald mooted that enhancing Creative Scotland’s capacity would be a means to strengthen phase 2 of the Place Programme.¹⁴⁰ Gary Cameron, who leads the programme in Creative Scotland, also acknowledged that the resources available to Creative Scotland to deliver the programme could be enhanced, when he stated—

” We do not have the resource base to provide what each of the 32 local authorities would ideally like us to do, but we are being proactive and we are continuing to consider how we can work more collaboratively.”¹⁴¹

114. Mr Cameron also noted that many local authorities are not as well-resourced with specialist staff to support local artists and to work with national partners in promoting the arts and culture as they were previously—

” “We have touched on local authority budgets being under pressure. On the ground, that often means that the roles—the arts development officers or cultural co-ordinators—that have historically been in place across Scotland are no longer there or have changed radically. I have been in one of those roles, and they are really important. They are the conduit between artists and community representatives. They are connectors; they help things to happen. They are also the link to Creative Scotland. There is a patchwork of posts—the picture across Scotland is not uniform. Our ability to have on-the-ground expertise and to work with communities and artists locally where there is no arts development officer is more challenging than it was 10 years ago...”¹⁴²

115. The apparent decline in expert local authority staff was also raised as a concern by respondents to the Committee’s call for evidence and other witnesses.¹⁴³ For example, Creetown Initiative Ltd commented on this issue that—

” “Another by-product of this reduced funding is that staff who are not qualified to support the arts are shoe-horned into arts posts as a result of council re-organisation (something which seems to happen on a weekly basis). So, you end up with the wrong people in the wrong jobs which weakens the depth of knowledge and support available.”¹⁴⁴

116. Creative Scotland administers other funding programmes that are intended to support the arts locally, including regular funding, which currently takes up 86 percent of Creative Scotland’s unrestricted grant in aid.¹⁴⁵ In its Regular Funding 2018-21 inquiry, the Committee considered the extent to which the organisations awarded funding were spread across Scotland’s 32 local authority areas. It was noted that only 21 local authorities have a regular funded organisation based in their area and that this figure is unchanged from the previous funding round.¹⁴⁶ Creative Scotland has emphasised previously to the Committee that 74% of the organisations in the current regular funding network operate beyond their home postcodes.¹⁴⁷ Nonetheless, the issue of geographic spread of national arts funding was also raised by respondents to this inquiry.¹⁴⁸


117. The Irish Arts Council explained to the Committee how the long-standing joint-working framework between local and national partners, which was formalised in the Arts Act 2003, in Ireland helped reduce the impact of the financial downturn on local authority support for the arts. It noted that that its relationship with local authorities began in 1985, when the Arts Council funded the first arts officer in a local authority. These posts continued to be funded by the Arts Council up until approximately fifteen years ago, by which time “they became mainstreamed in the local authorities”.¹⁴⁹ Orlaith McBride, Director of the Irish Arts Council, noted how the system weathered the financial downturn—

- ” “During the boom years before the recession, teams with, for example, a youth arts officer, a public arts officer and an arts and health co-ordinator would have been built up in local authorities. Those teams would have been developed but, as the recession hit, local authorities went back to skeletal staff of just an arts officer. In every local authority, at the senior management level, there would be a director of culture. That was to ensure that all the cultural provisions—the arts, heritage, the language and the Gaeltacht—were under one directorate in a local authority.” ¹⁵⁰
118. Ms McBride noted that local authorities’ provision of the arts is not a statutory service, but that the Arts Act 2003 requires local authorities to have an arts plan. The Act requires local authorities to “take account of policies of the Government in relation to the arts”. ¹⁵¹
119. Scotland has previously had similar policies to support arts officers within local authorities. For example, the Scottish Arts Council’s “Creative Links Programme” was a partnership project between the Scottish Arts Council’s Education Department and individual partner organisations (i.e. local authorities or national youth/education umbrella bodies). ¹⁵² The programme created time-limited positions known as ‘links officers’ who were stationed in partner organisations. Their role was to act as a liaison between the local authority departments and external agencies; liaise directly with artists and arts organisations; create and manage projects and programmes; provide information and advice to teachers; and identify additional funding opportunities. ¹⁵³
120. According to a guidance document published jointly by the then Scottish Executive and COSLA in 2003, a pilot programme of links officers showed that the officers “boosted the spending on arts education in those areas by 4,500% (to achieve a total of £6,341,000).” ¹⁵⁴ In August 2002, the programme was rolled out across all Scottish local authorities with the aid of National Lottery funding. ¹⁵⁵ The Scottish Arts Council reported that “the officers have also brought additional funding to the arts within their education authorities with up to a £4000 return for every £1 invested initially by the Scottish Arts Council.” ¹⁵⁶
121. The Cabinet Secretary noted the positive impacts of Creative Scotland’s place programme, and the challenges in ensuring that the investment made during the programme continues to have a lasting legacy, when she told the Committee—
- ” “...one of the challenges relates to whether the activity continues once the period of initial engagement is complete—when the engagement moves on to another local authority, there is a question about whether the activity is sustainable.” ¹⁵⁷
- 122. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government work with Creative Scotland to re-establish a programme of funding for regionally-based arts officers where Creative Scotland’s funding is significantly below the Scottish average in order to stimulate funding where there are relatively**


few applications at present, in order to support the arts in a sustainable way and to maximise the return on public funding investment.

- 123. The Committee commends the success of the Place Programme and recommends that Creative Scotland should articulate to the Scottish Government and the Committee what measures are needed to deliver it with more local authorities and to strengthen its implementation in a way that embeds the benefits of investment for the long term.
- 124. The Committee considers it unacceptable that the regular funding round of 2018 did not improve the geographic distribution of regular funded organisations. The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland take action to ensure that its new funding approach improves on the current geographic spread of regular funded organisations.

Delivering cross-cutting policy outcomes



“Funding more creative subjects in schools (primary and secondary)”
Georgia, Ayrshire College



“It always seems to be relatively easy to cut arts budgets; we hear the cliché about funding a hospital instead. However, lots of arts organisations see the link between artistic activity and general wellbeing, which is in the ether.” **Janie Nicoll, visual artist**

- 125. The potential for investment in the arts and culture to support a range of policy outcomes across the National Performance Framework, such as education, children and young people, health, communities and poverty, is well understood and is a stated aspiration of the Scottish Government’s draft Culture Strategy.¹⁵⁸ This ambition is relevant to local and national policy coordination because many of these services are delivered by partners at a local authority level. In the course of its inquiry, the Committee considered how the Scottish Government is approaching this

ambition with specific reference to education in light of the targeted funding provided by the Scottish Government to support its youth arts strategy.

126. Many respondents to the Committee's call for evidence emphasised that strategic investment in artists and the arts cannot be achieved without a more holistic approach to funding, including investment in arts education.¹⁵⁹ This issue was highlighted by Scottish theatre company, Dogstar Theatre, when it argued in written evidence that a sustainable public funding model for the arts must start with children's education—

” We need our mentality towards the arts to change, to stop seeing the arts as a luxury, an added extra, and to start seeing the arts as fundamental... This requires a more central role for the arts, first in the education of our children and following on from there.”¹⁶⁰

127. The arts are widely understood to have benefits for society at large and the preventative policy agenda in supporting children's development, including critical thinking and mental health.¹⁶¹ The provision of arts education for young people can also serve a more specific benefit for the arts sector as a stepping stone in the development of Scotland's future artists.¹⁶² It was also highlighted to the Committee that funding arts education can create additional employment opportunities for artists, as teachers or creative freelancers,¹⁶³ although this will depend on interests and talents of each individual artist.¹⁶⁴

128. The Scottish Government launched a youth arts strategy “Time to Shine” on 8 November 2013.¹⁶⁵ The strategy set out a 10-year vision to support Scotland's young people to access the arts with a commitment to review the strategy every two years. This strategy is underpinned by targeted funding programmes, including the Youth Music Initiative and the Cashback for Creativity Open Fund.¹⁶⁶ The Youth Music Initiative has three funding streams, one of which (“school-based music making”) has the specific aim of delivering on “the Scottish Government's commitment to every school pupil in Scotland [being] offered a year of free music tuition by the time they leave primary school”.¹⁶⁷ It is not clear to the Committee how the delivery of the programme is measured against the existing indicators in the National Performance Framework, either for culture or related outcomes for education (such as educational attainment; confidence of children and young people; engagement in extra-curricular activities; and young people's participation).¹⁶⁸ This perhaps suggests that there is a mismatch in the alignment of national outcomes and the way in which the aims of individual policies are set.

129. Whilst some artists who responded to the Committee's inquiry expressed support for targeted funding programmes, such as the Youth Music Initiative,¹⁶⁹ others explained how the annual cycle of targeted funding programmes can impede artists' ability to deliver and develop projects in a sustainable way.¹⁷⁰ For example, A.R.Ts Afternoon explained in written evidence to the Committee how the annual funding it has received through the Youth Music Initiative has enabled it to “work with young people and put music into their sphere when it may have been something that would have passed them by”.¹⁷¹ It expressed concern, however, that the annual

nature of the funding is not a sustainable model and makes organisational planning and development challenging. ¹⁷²

130. The Committee met with young artists as part of its fact-finding visit to Ayr, which was hosted by Ayrshire College. The expressive arts is one of eight curriculum areas within the Curriculum for Excellence and the Committee heard from young people who had been inspired by their drama schoolteacher to pursue careers in theatre and acting. Despite the many positives of studying the arts in school, the Committee was informed that many schools in Ayrshire no longer offer arts subjects, such as drama, and that there is a perception by some stakeholders that the attractiveness of the arts has come under increasing pressure as a result of the Science Technology Engineering and Maths ('STEM') agenda. ¹⁷³

131. The Scottish Government's Programme for Government 2018-19 committed to "launching the Cultural Youth Experience Fund with a view to supporting a number of pilots in the next year, with a focus on areas of deprivation, helping to ensure that location is not a barrier to experience the best of Scotland's culture." ¹⁷⁴ This funding was specifically intended to support the second phase of its Time to Shine Strategy. The Cabinet Secretary explained to the Committee in pre-budget scrutiny that the Scottish Government had not been able to fund the Cultural Youth Experience Fund as intended due to additional pension costs borne by the public bodies supported by the Scottish Government. ¹⁷⁵ The Cabinet Secretary noted that the Scottish Government had not yet identified how much to allocate to the Cultural Youth Experience Fund because it still "...needed to work out the most effective way of doing it". The Cabinet Secretary also noted the challenges identified by the Scottish Government in scoping the delivery of the Cultural Youth Experience Fund—

” "...we wanted to focus on primary school children. However, when we worked with partners to look at what we could do, we found that there are good facilities and provision of culture experience, but the big issue is transport. That comes up everywhere I go, which suggests that I should be running buses. The offer of free participation exists—as I said, it is better for active participation—and art and cultural experiences go to communities, but, more importantly, communities and individuals, particularly children, can also express and develop their own cultural experiences." ¹⁷⁶

132. The difficulty in delivering on policy outcomes, such as culture, in a cross-cutting way was recognised by a number of witnesses, including Stewart Murdoch, Director of Leisure and Culture Dundee. Mr Murdoch argued that despite good intentions, cross-cutting policy delivery can lose focus and available funding can be diluted if it is not managed with effective leadership—

” "The way that the cake is cut and divided among the strategic and the transversal is challenging; I think that culture has been downgraded. In my experience in recent years, the reporting on the cultural sector's impact on national outcomes—not just the specific culture outcome, but outcomes across the board—has become lower in profile." ¹⁷⁷

133. Orlaith McBride, Director of the Irish Arts Council, explained to the Committee that the Irish Government underwent a sea change in its approach to using the arts in

cross-cutting policy delivery following centenary commemorations for the Easter rising in 2016, noting—

” “One of the biggest legacies that came out of that was how schools became involved. Young people told stories about 1916 and the revolution, but they did it in interesting ways, with artists, in their local communities and schools. The whole country was transfixed by young people owning a story that was 100 years old and retelling it in exciting ways.” ¹⁷⁸

134. Ms McBride noted that the Irish Government’s response to this shift in public attitudes was to launch “Creative Ireland”, which she explained—

” “...puts the arts and culture at the centre of what we do as a state. That means that not just the culture department, but every department, whether the health department or the education department, must look at policy making through the lens of culture.” ¹⁷⁹

135. The Irish Arts Council launched a “Creative Schools” project at this time, in which institutions (including primary and secondary schools, youth-reach centres, special schools and, in the Gaeltacht, Irish-language schools) across the country apply to take part. The project creates a placement for a creative associate (a mixture of teachers and artists) to work with the school community to develop a creative plan for the school that is informed by local priorities. ¹⁸⁰ Ms McBride noted that a second major stream of the Irish Arts Council’s work with the Department for Education to embed this new cross-cutting approach to policy delivery has focused on teacher training, noting that—

” “Teachers will be in classrooms for 40-odd years. Therefore, the big thing for us was training teachers differently from the beginning, so that when they go into a classroom, they will have 40 years of teaching generations of young people differently.” ¹⁸¹


136. The Cabinet Secretary underlined her commitment to cross-government working when she gave evidence to the Committee, noting that “the most effective way of doing things is not in silos but by looking for opportunities right across the different portfolios.” ¹⁸²

137. The Committee welcomes the Scottish Government’s aspiration to embed culture in the delivery of wider policy in a cross-cutting way. In order to be successful, this approach must be supported across government and with momentum. Local authorities and relevant agencies must also be brought onboard from the outset as key strategic partners in its delivery. The Committee is not convinced that this level of commitment currently exists or that strategic partners have been brought onboard.

138. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government articulate in its delivery plan for the forthcoming culture strategy how it will create and sustain momentum for its policy implementation in a cross-cutting way bringing onboard local authorities and relevant agencies, including addressing barriers to culture such as transport provision and affordability.

139. **The Committee also recommends that the Scottish Government articulate its funding strategy for delivering the national outcome on culture across wider portfolios, particularly in priority policy areas, such as education and health.**
140. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government make its existing commitment to “ensure every school pupil in Scotland is offered a year of free music tuition by the time they leave primary school” an indicator for the national outcome on culture within the National Performance Framework.**

Infrastructure



"It is not just a problem for arts funding. One of the biggest problems that we have faced is that venues are obsessed with how many tickets [artists'] work is going to sell to a degree that is much more extreme than it used to be. A lot of the time, the business pressure comes from venues who say, “We like your work, but we want something that is going to sell out.” **David Leddy, Fire Exit**

Arts Venues

141. Another policy area highlighted to the Committee where local and national policy coordination could be strengthened is the development of a sustainable approach to maintaining arts venues across Scotland. The Committee was informed that artists in many parts of Scotland are finding it increasingly difficult to showcase their work locally in the absence of a local and national framework for supporting capital infrastructure for the arts.¹⁸³ In this regard, the Centre for Contemporary Arts noted in its written submission that capital investment in Scotland’s cultural venues has been in decline in recent years—

” “From 1996-2014 there were large capital investments in Scottish arts venues and substantial lottery funding to support artists and programmes in those venues. As that has disappeared, the venue and the support infrastructure have begun to crumble. The international reputation of Scottish arts and culture, created by the initial investment, will quickly fade at this rate.”¹⁸⁴

142. Catherine Wheels Theatre Company commented on the practical impact this wider trend is having on artists, when it noted in its written submission that the provision of free facilities owned by local authorities has been in decline placing additional commercial pressure on artists.¹⁸⁵ Ken Mathieson, jazz musician, and the Music Venue Trust echoed these concerns, noting the commercial focus of venues is having a severe impact on professional musicians outwith popular commercial

genres.¹⁸⁶ The Music Venue Trust, noted in this regard, that grassroots music venues are in significant decline and facing a ‘crisis’.¹⁸⁷

143. The Committee visited the Fire Station Creative in Dunfermline and experienced first-hand the innovative approach it takes to supporting local artists and connecting them to the local community. The Committee understands that this model is quite innovative. Respondents suggested a number of ways that a strategic approach to this issue could be taken forward. Graham Berry, an individual who responded to the call for evidence, suggested that arts buildings owned by local authorities should be put under the control of a central trust for arts and public money should be used to support a network of venues of various sizes.¹⁸⁸ Norman Bissell, a poet, identified other ways public funding could be used to support arts venues in Scotland’s rural areas and islands and observed that—

” The lack of guaranteed funding for rural and island arts venues adversely affects the range and quality of artistic work available to rural and island communities. A register of existing arts venues in Scotland, other than RFO funded ones, should be created and each should be allocated as much funding as can be afforded, e.g. about £5k per year for their events programmes, on condition that they guarantee artists’ union rates and report annually. This would make sizeable savings on Creative Scotland application and assessment costs.”¹⁸⁹

144. The Music Venue Trust called for the creation of a National Trust for Venues to support sustainable investment in grassroot venues across Scotland.¹⁹⁰ It has developed a 10-year proposal setting out how this could be achieved, including by acquiring—

” ...freehold ownership of these buildings and create a protected network of spaces.”¹⁹¹

145. The Committee’s comparative research noted that France has implemented a citizen’s equal right to arts and culture, which has recently been reviewed. It found that—

” A commitment to a ‘one size fits all’ programme resulted in 500 cultural houses (out of a target of 1,000), but this approach has been amended and made more flexible. In response cultural institutions are being tasked to ‘go outside of their walls’ for wider geographic impact.”¹⁹²

146. The Irish Arts Council informed the Committee that it jointly commissioned a review of arts centres with the umbrella organisation for local authorities in Ireland in 2018-19.¹⁹³ This considered “local infrastructure provision, the different models and the ways in which the centres were funded and staffed”.¹⁹⁴ The Irish Arts Council explained why it approached this review in partnership with the umbrella body for local authorities, noting—

” It has to be done in partnership and very gently, because there cannot be any sense of our trying to force local authorities’ hands. We are a national agency, but they are statutory local agencies, so it is a very delicate dance. By co-commissioning that report, we are now in a position to begin to look at how we will change the model with regard to the funding piece that you mentioned over the next few years. As an arts council, the first thing that we had to do this year was commit to significantly increasing our funding for arts centres next year. We could not sit across the table from local authorities and have a conversation about increased spend if, from their perspective, we were not doing the same. That commitment will allow us to start having bigger, more strategic conversations next year, when at least we will have in front of us the co-commissioned report that gives us the analysis and the evidence.”¹⁹⁵

147. Creative Scotland informed the Committee in writing that it has commissioned an external research body to investigate amongst other things “the current position of local authority support for arts, culture and creative industries across Scotland”.¹⁹⁶ It is not clear from the letter whether the provision of arts venues across Scotland is within the scope of the research being undertaken. Creative Scotland notes that—

” Given the scale of the challenges, this development work will provide a foundation for our future collaboration with local authorities. However, this does not preclude taking practical steps now, for example, in looking to realise the potential for joint-funding approaches with local authorities and future place partnership related activity.”¹⁹⁷

148. The level of cooperation between local authorities and Creative Scotland appears to be less integrated than the programme of work being undertaken in Ireland. For example, the scoping research being undertaken has not been commissioned jointly with COSLA and it is not clear to what extent COSLA or individual local authorities have been involved in scoping the project to date. The research is also not supported by a funding commitment from Creative Scotland or the Scottish Government, as it has been in Ireland.

149. The Cabinet Secretary noted that the provision of arts venues and studios by local authorities is very important and an issue which she feels “strongly” about—

” I go back to the issues with local authorities. There is huge demand for artists’ studios. Bringing artists together is one of the best ways to enable peer support and networking so that people understand how to do things. A big way in which we could support individual artists is by encouraging local authorities in different areas to develop artists’ studios in what were previously high street shops or former industrial units.”¹⁹⁸

150. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government work with relevant partners in order to create a refreshed approach for maintaining cultural venues across all parts of Scotland in the forthcoming culture strategy, supported by a clear funding approach. This could include artists that have developed successful approaches to maintaining commercial and

non-commercial cultural venues, social enterprises, COSLA and individual local authorities.

Institutions of national significance

151. The Committee also understands from its previous work on the Regular Funding 2018-21 round that there are funding pressures on institutions that are considered to be of ‘national significance’ to Scotland, which are not part of the National Performing Companies and Collections. This issue was also raised in responses to the call for evidence for this inquiry.¹⁹⁹ The written submissions identified a number of perceived ‘gaps’ in the type of institutions that currently receive direct funding. It was noted, for example, that a national youth performing arts company would be a welcome addition to the existing portfolio.²⁰⁰ Another suggestion was to add organisations to the portfolio that focus on innovative or experimental productions.²⁰¹ Venues, including the Royal Lyceum and the Traverse Theatre, were highlighted as relevant examples of institutions considered to be of national significance that do not currently receive direct funding.²⁰²
152. The Committee is also aware of examples where the Scottish Government has stepped in on an ad hoc basis to providing funding support to institutions, such as the Scottish Youth Theatre, that have been unsuccessful in obtaining regular funding.²⁰³ The Committee notes in this regard that the independent report commissioned by Creative Scotland into its handling of regular funding last year also raised this issue, stating that—
- ” One of the recurrent themes in consultations with staff and Board members was an issue of whether certain organisations were ‘too big to fail’, or in other words, whether some organisations would always be funded regardless of the outcome of an assessment process. This issue was also raised by staff in the internal survey on the Regular Funding process with specific reference to a previous intervention by the Scottish Government on behalf of the Scottish Youth Theatre after the organisation was unsuccessful in the 2015-18 RFO process.”²⁰⁴
153. The independent report recommended that Creative Scotland and the Scottish Government address this issue as part of Creative Scotland’s ongoing review of its funding approach—
- ” The approach to funding and supporting key organisations that are deemed to be integral to the national cultural infrastructure needs to be factored into the design of future funding models and requires a wider dialogue between Creative Scotland, Scottish Government and the sector.”²⁰⁵
154. The Cabinet Secretary noted in evidence that in the past the national performing companies were removed from other funding programmes to be funded directly by the Scottish Government to create a fairer and more sustainable funding framework for individual artists and smaller organisations—

” "As I remember—this was before my time as culture secretary—part of the argument for removing the national performing companies from funding by the Scottish Arts Council and instead having a direct funding relationship with the Government was that that would end the arrangement whereby the big national companies were seeking funding from the same funding pots as individual artists and other organisations." ²⁰⁶

155. **The Committee considers that the current approach to funding institutions of national significance (outwith the National Performing Companies and Collections) through the regular funding network is not sustainable. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government should consider articulating a new, strategic approach to funding these institutions, by first identifying which institutions it considers should be afforded this status, such as a national youth company, and secondly to identify how they can be funded in a sustainable way.**

Percentage for the arts

156. Percentage for the arts schemes create a requirement for a percentage of the overall cost of a construction project for new public buildings, places or spaces to be spent on public art. It was noted during the Committee’s fact-finding visit to Ayr that this model has been used in Bolton and Guernsey. ²⁰⁷

157. The Committee’s comparative research found that the Netherlands has implemented a percent for the art scheme for public buildings whereby 2% is applied to projects between €1 and €7 million and for projects worth more than €10m 0.5% is applied in addition to €120,000. ²⁰⁸ The Irish Arts Council also informed the Committee that it has a percentage for the arts scheme, which is tied into public infrastructure projects. ²⁰⁹ Orlaith McBride, Director of the Irish Arts Council, told the Committee—

” "In the 1980s, 1990s and the 2000s, that would have resulted in, for example, public art sculptures on the side of a dual carriageway. Thankfully, we have moved away from that model to a more engaged understanding of public art. Now, if a local authority is undertaking a public housing project in a particular community, it will initiate and develop programmes in which artists will work with the community to develop a piece of theatre or undertake a writing project, for example. The practice is much more socially engaged and participatory than was the case heretofore, when there would simply be a piece of sculpture placed on the side of the road or in a schoolyard." ²¹⁰

158. Ms McBride explained further that the Irish Government has recently reviewed the scheme and increased its investment, as follows—

- ” • Projects below €5,000,000: 1% of the cost of the project to a maximum of €50,000 (1% of upper limit);
- Projects between €5,000,000 and €20,000,000: 1% of the cost of the project to a maximum of €125,000 (1% at median);
- Projects between €20,000,000 and €50,000,000: 1% of the cost of the project to a maximum of €350,000 (1% at median);
- Projects in excess of €50,000,000:- up to €500,000 being 1% of the lower level scale and declining as projects increase in scale.²¹¹

159. The Cabinet Secretary noted that the Scottish Government would be interested in principle to consider a percentage for the arts scheme, stating—

- ” "We are interested in the principle of such a scheme, but we have not done anything about that at this stage. That might change if we can get a period of sustained economic growth—and growth in construction in particular. The draft culture strategy places a big focus on the issue, so there are future opportunities in that regard."²¹²

160. The Cabinet Secretary added that legislation may be required to introduce a percentage for the arts scheme, noting "...otherwise people could say that they are not doing it."²¹³

161. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government investigate how a percentage for the arts policy could be established in Scotland to create additional investment in arts and culture and to embed it in planning for Scotland's creative future. This could be included in a future 'Arts Act'.

Part 3: Investment in Scotland's Artists



“In the context of the limited resources that Creative Scotland has available to push out, what is happening is that there is not a level playing field...”
Raymond Vilakazi, Neo Productions

162. The previous sections of this report outlined the respective roles played by national and local government in funding the arts to ensure that it remains sustainable for the future. The second strand of the Committee’s inquiry invited views on how individual artists and arts organisations navigate the complex public funding system and the extent to which the funding that is in place provides the right support for them to create sustainable careers and artistic ventures.
163. It was clear from the responses to the Committee’s call for evidence that the needs and circumstances of individual artists and arts organisations who apply for funding are diverse and ensuring that the funding system treats all applicants fairly is a considerable but important challenge. The type of diversity highlighted to the Committee included the experience of artists with protected characteristics; artists and organisations at different stages of their careers or organisational development; as well as artists and arts organisations of different means and aspirations – be they professional, amateur or voluntary. This section outlines different aspects of diversity in the arts sector and how the administration of public arts funding may be able to address some of the concerns that were raised with the Committee.

Fair pay

164. A significant and longstanding issue highlighted to the Committee is the availability of paid work and the lack of fair pay for artists and cultural freelancers.²¹⁴ The Committee heard concerning evidence about the apparent downward pressure on artists’ and creative freelancers’ pay, as highlighted, for example, by the written evidence from craft social enterprise, Really Interesting Objects CIC, which noted—
- ” “Financial pressures on funding means many cultural freelancers (who are often also artists) are working at a rate of pay which is lower than the rates paid ten years ago. This is evidenced in the recent Art Professional UK research on pay which also shows that pay and fee rates in Scotland are lower than the rest of the UK with a freelancer in Scotland averaging £11,481 a year compared to a UK average £16,000 a year.”²¹⁵
165. The Committee is aware that trade unions, such as the Scottish Artists Union²¹⁶ and the Musicians Union²¹⁷ have published suggested rates of pay for the artforms represented within their membership but that problems with the availability of paid

work and fair rates of pay persist. The Scottish Artists Union informed the Committee that "three out of four members consistently fail to be paid rates equivalent to the union's published rates. At 76%, this is the highest figure in recent years".²¹⁸ The Musicians Union noted that the availability of paid work is a "critical issue" for its members and that publicly funded projects should be "remunerated fairly and ideally in line with minimum suggested union rates".²¹⁹

166. Whilst many respondents to the inquiry welcomed guidance on minimum pay rates, Catherine Wheels Theatre Company explained that this approach may not have alleviated wage stagnation as intended, when it observed—

” Companies and artists are keen not to be seen to ask for too much money because this might hinder their chances of being successful. One knock-on effect is that performers and artists employed through the funding grant are offered Equity minimum rates only as a normal practice. The result is that a performer that has been working for the past 10 years in shows, receiving the same rate of pay for those 10 years. Equity minimum rates are there to set standards for the minimum wage you can pay an actor. It is not normal in any other profession for the rate of pay not to increase due to experience over a 10-year period.”²²⁰

167. Self-employed and freelance artists appear to be the most vulnerable to downward pressures on fair pay compared to other types of professionals working in the arts, not least because the national minimum wage/national living wage legislation does not apply to individuals with this employment status. Writer and performer, Harry Josephine Giles, noted in this regard that there appear to be inequalities within the sector regarding pay and job security—

” Those in administration and management have the most stable jobs and wages, while those actually making art have the least access to jobs and stability, with producers somewhere in the middle.”²²¹

168. The Committee was informed that publicly funded grants and awards for projects can contribute to the wider problem if day rates are not calculated using the actual hours worked or required,²²² and if aspects of artistic production, such as producer fees, are not included in the funding package.²²³ Other challenges posed to fair pay and funding that were highlighted in written evidence, included the lack of maternity leave and childcare for freelancers, particularly for those from underprivileged backgrounds;²²⁴ as well as a lack of sick pay, holiday pay and travel expenses.²²⁵ Specific examples provided included the Visual Artist and Craftmaker Awards, which "do not fund artists' time".²²⁶

169. The Committee understands that Creative Scotland has published guidance on rates of pay and commits to paying funded artists fairly. This guidance also specifies the limits of Creative Scotland's role on this issue, noting that "it is not Creative Scotland's role to prescribe the rates of pay that any organisation applies when employing staff or when working with and/or commissioning artists and creative practitioners".²²⁷

170. Some respondents argued that more could and should be done by public agencies, such as Creative Scotland, to tackle the issue of fair pay.²²⁸ An approach

highlighted in the evidence was to consider moving beyond a flat recommended rate of pay to setting a scale as a means of recognising the relevant skills and experience of artists at different stages of their career. For example, an individual quoted in the Catherine Wheels Theatre Company's submission noted that this approach to pay is used in France where actors are paid according to their age and experience. This individual suggested that a similar approach could be adopted in Scotland "...as a charter to be followed by companies, an endeavour to move towards".²²⁹

171. The Committee understands that one of the indicators for the national outcome on culture is "people working in arts and culture", which measures the number of jobs in the Creative Industries Growth Sector. The Scottish Government's website explains that this indicator—

” "...is a temporary measure for People working in Arts and Culture. Analytical work is required to define this indicator as there is not an internationally agreed definition for culture. The intention is to explore a new methodology or source for Arts and Culture employment (rather than Creative Industries jobs) working with stakeholders." ²³⁰

172. The Cabinet Secretary noted Creative Scotland's guidance on fair pay and stated—

” "We expect those who receive funding from the Scottish Government, via Creative Scotland or other organisations, to pay the living wage, as is set out in the Creative Scotland documentation. I would expect Creative Scotland to scrutinise that closely. If the committee were to recommend that in its report, it would be very welcome." ²³¹


173. The Committee welcomes the national outcome on culture and the steps taken by the Scottish Government to measure its performance through the national indicators, including the number of people working in the arts and culture. The Committee considers it is imperative that the work to improve the data that supports these indicators is undertaken as a matter of priority.

174. The Committee recommends that a new indicator is developed to measure the extent to which self-employed artists and cultural freelancers working in the arts and wider creative sector are paid a fair wage.

175. The Committee notes the steps taken by Creative Scotland to encourage the organisations and projects that it funds to adopt fair pay practices. The Committee recommends that a more robust approach is required from Creative Scotland and invites it to take action to address this issue.

176. The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland measures funding that is allocated to artists who are producing artistic as a proportion of the total amount of funding for each grant that it awards.

Tiered funding applications and peer review



“You now have to learn the arts-speak to negotiate all the pitfalls before you get anywhere near being considered to be a valuable contributor to the cultural identity of the nation.”
Professor Richard Demarco CBE

177. A common criticism of the current funding system operated by Creative Scotland from respondents to this inquiry was that it does not appear to go far enough in creating a level playing field for access to its funding opportunities.²³² The concerns expressed to the Committee included the considerable unpaid time needed to complete applications;²³³ the disproportionate burden of the funding application process on smaller organisations;²³⁴ the disparity in available resources to apply for funding between organisations that employ paid staff versus volunteer-led organisations;²³⁵ individual artists lacking the necessary skills to complete bureaucratic application forms;²³⁶ and concerns about ‘network organisations’ competing against their members in the same funding streams.²³⁷
178. Neo Productions outlined these challenges in its written submission to the Committee, when it stated—
- ” ...Having the time and skills to create and submit funding applications especially when you are unfunded to do it and expected to do it on a voluntary basis. It has been shown that along with working class people, BAME people are often disadvantaged in this manner in not having the resources to support them while they try and create a career in the arts. It is not easy (or fair) when you are competing against/being judged at the same level with established organisations that have paid fundraising teams to create their applications.”²³⁸
179. The Committee’s comparative research found that Scotland is not alone in facing the challenges highlighted by Neo Productions and other respondents to the call for evidence. The research noted in this regard that “the balancing of technical requirements of applicants required to ensure objective assessment with the barriers that can be introduced to smaller or less experienced applicants is a common challenge”.²³⁹ The Irish Arts Council concurred with this finding, noting that “the approach is really difficult to balance”.²⁴⁰
180. The Committee received suggestions as to how Creative Scotland’s funding systems could be strengthened to address these issues. Many respondents to the call for evidence suggested, for example, that tiered funding processes could be introduced whereby applications are sifted at an earlier stage and more detailed applications are only required for later stages.²⁴¹ The Committee heard, for example from artists in Dunfermline, that this approach is often used by private

trusts and local authorities who often have an open and applicant-friendly approach.²⁴² Imagine explained the potential benefits of Creative Scotland embedding this approach in its funding process, when it noted in its written submission that—

” Currently Creative Scotland applications seem to put a tiny emphasis on the actual idea for the artwork, and a huge amount of emphasis on management, marketing, public benefit and equality issues. Surely the first stage should be just about the artwork, then if successful, all the other questions can be answered – ideally by the National Producing Network and the National Marketing Network.”²⁴³

181. There was strong agreement from witnesses and respondents to the call for evidence that artistic merit should be at the heart of decisions about funding. Ken Mathieson, jazz musician, explained to the Committee in this regard that the sector’s confidence in the decision-making process is affected by the extent to which they consider the assessment is made by someone with a deep understanding of the artistic work that is the subject of the application. Mr Mathieson cited this as a weakness in the current system, noting: "I question how much knowledge the people who do the assessments have about genres and the lives of working artists of whatever genre."²⁴⁴

182. It was suggested to the Committee that this aspect of the funding process could be strengthened through the use of peer review.²⁴⁵ It was noted that peer review works well when the panel of reviewers is rotated.²⁴⁶ David Leddy explained what measures can form part of a robust and credible peer review process when he gave evidence to the Committee—

” The pitfalls of peer review are quite easy to mitigate: there can be rolling panels, so people do not sit on panels for long periods; there can be panels that are large enough that individual members do not have undue influence; there can be split panels where the first round of decision making is carried out by a very large group that offers brief feedback before it goes to second panel of 10 who sit round a table; and people can be asked to formally declare any interests that they may have. All those things mitigate the pitfalls more than the current system does, where a small number of people who have been in post for a long time make all the decisions.”²⁴⁷

183. Harry Josephine Giles agreed that peer review would strengthen the assessment of funding applications and explained how the use of diversified panels can support equality in the sector—

” If the panels are actively diversified and we ensure that they are not just representative but take affirmative action, we will also start to undo the power structures in decision making. Currently, that is left to whoever is employed by Creative Scotland and so it is subject to exactly the same power structures as everything else. If peer review is diversified beyond populations, that can be addressed, too.”²⁴⁸

184. The comparative researched commissioned by the Committee found that the Creative Europe Programme has a peer review model that could be used as an established model to inform a Scottish approach. It noted—

” "Evaluation teams are led by 'lead' peer assessors, and 'quality' assessors work with the Creative Europe team to ensure consistency and improvement. Assessors are supported through the evaluation process and with online networking and assessment tools. The approach offers sectoral credibility, transparency and depth to the evaluation process. Experts are increasingly embedded into the assessment process, at every level. Applicants can view the scoring and commentary of the assessors, to ensure transparency and to inform future applications or re-submissions." ²⁴⁹

185. The Irish Arts Council told the Committee that its use of peer review works well and is an established part of its funding system. In this regard, the Irish Arts Council facilitates 60 to 70 peer review panels per year with an average decision time of 16 weeks from the date of application. ²⁵⁰ Panel members receive a fee for their work, which the Arts Council views as "another way in which we can support individuals and artists". In terms of personnel, the Arts Council noted that it is supported by four full-time staff, which it considers "...as money well spent with regard to our relationship with the sector in the long term". ²⁵¹

186. As part of its inquiry into regular funding last year, the Committee also invited Creative Scotland to consider funding network organisations in a way that does not mean they are seen to compete with their members for funding. ²⁵² This issue was also raised by respondents to this inquiry. ²⁵³ The arts sector was often described as an 'ecosystem' in this context, in which individual artists, other professionals (such as producers), arts organisations and network organisations play a part. ²⁵⁴ Many network organisations reiterated the role they play in supporting artists, ²⁵⁵ but some considered that it would be preferable for them not to be seen to compete against their own members for the same funding opportunities. ²⁵⁶

187. The Cabinet Secretary acknowledged when she gave evidence to the Committee that some of the issues raised in evidence had been part of the previous funding system under the Scottish Arts Council, noting "It is sometimes necessary to revisit changes that were made five or 10 years ago, for which there was a rationale at the time." ²⁵⁷ The Cabinet Secretary also noted the pros and cons of using peer review and that Creative Scotland has used it in its recent open project funding round. ²⁵⁸ The Cabinet Secretary concluded that—

” "There is scope and potential to reintroduce more effective peer-review working. However, that must be a recommendation from Creative Scotland. As a Government minister, I should not tell it how to go about that business." ²⁵⁹

188. The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland consider ways that the funding application process for its grants could be tiered to focus the early stages of the funding process on artistic merit and reduce the burden on applicants who are unlikely to progress to later stages of the application process.

189. The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland incorporate peer review into its application processes drawing on the experience from

comparative countries and funding models highlighted in this report to ensure that diversity and fairness is built into the peer assessment process.

190. **The Committee recommends that there should be no circumstances in which individual artists should be competing against network organisations for funding from Creative Scotland.**

Progressive funding streams and funded development opportunities

191. Respondents to the call for evidence also suggested that Scotland’s arts funding framework could be strengthened through the use of progressive or development funding programmes that are aimed at artists and organisations at different stages of their development (e.g. early career/starter organisation; mid-career/developed organisation; established artists and organisations). Visual artist Janie Nicoll expressed concern about the drop-off in the number of people who are working as artists within five years of leaving art school, noting how a progressive funding approach could help address this issue—

” ...artists at all stages of their careers are competing for the same type of funding and it feels as though the fact that some are younger or recently graduated is probably not taken into consideration. That makes the process more intimidating for younger artists, I would think.”²⁶⁰

192. The Committee met with young artists from a range of disciplines who had graduated from both further and higher educational institutions as part of its fact-finding visit to Ayr.²⁶¹ The young people had very different experiences of careers guidance during their further and higher education. Those who had graduated from colleges appeared to have received advice about business skills that would assist them to build a career as an artist, whilst those who had graduated from higher education institutions, such as the Glasgow School of Art, did not appear to have received the same level of training and support during their studies.
193. All of the young artists who participated in the Ayr fact-finding visit considered more guidance on applying for funding and other core business skills, such as managing clients, income generation and completing tax returns, would be helpful in supporting them to build sustainable careers. The Committee notes in this regard, that the Scottish Government’s most recent guidance letter to the Scottish Funding Council stated that “one of the most significant social interventions our colleges and universities can make is preparing people for work...”²⁶²
194. The Committee also received views from respondents to the call for evidence, such as Alison Bell, Cove Park, and Kirsten Gow, who called for more funding opportunities for established artists.²⁶³ Festivals Edinburgh suggested that a progressive funding model could also help to address a more equal prevalence of professional artists from different economic backgrounds, noting in its submission—

- ” "To change this profile for the better, a sustained pipeline of support is important for talented people to develop and progress as well as to enter the culture sector. Focused and co-ordinated support opportunities to provide long-term pathways for individuals, albeit limited in number, may prove more effective in growing a diverse new generation of cultural leaders than a higher volume of interventions that do not systematically address gaps and transition points." ²⁶⁴
195. Other means to provide funded opportunities for artists at different stages of their careers that were highlighted in the evidence received included doctoral programmes, ²⁶⁵ sabbaticals, residencies, ²⁶⁶ and secondments ²⁶⁷ (including for artists within Creative Scotland), ²⁶⁸ mentoring programmes ²⁶⁹ and apprenticeships. ²⁷⁰ The Irish Arts Council informed the Committee that “a significant proportion of our funding is specifically earmarked for individual artists.” This includes a national programme for mid-career artists called “Aosdána”, which supports 250 artists at any one time, as well as programmes, such as the “next generation programme” aimed at emerging artists. ²⁷¹ Overall, the Irish Arts Council explained that it offers between 300 and 400 bursaries per year, with an average value of €20,000. ²⁷²
196. The Committee heard that these initiatives can offer positive opportunities for artists, but care should be taken in how they are developed and implemented. Emma Jayne Park told the Committee, for example, how the amount offered for bursaries or the way they are designed can have unintended consequences for artistic output when she explained—
- ” "There has been a massive kickback in terms of programming dance, because a lot of what is being done is solo work. The reason for that is that many residencies for people to develop their work come with a £500 a week bursary, which is one person's fee. That means that people just work on their own, which I would argue does not make the best art." ²⁷³
197. The Cabinet Secretary told the Committee that cross-party support would be required to implement schemes designed to support the development of individual artists—
- ” "Until now, there has been great focus on supporting new and emerging artists, but what happens afterwards, on that journey? That is about sustainability, which runs through much of the evidence that the committee has heard. It would be interesting to consider how we might approach those different stages, but that would mean a shift... If we, as a country, wanted to take that approach, we would need cross-party endorsement." ²⁷⁴
198. In relation to artists emerging from further and higher education and their employability, the Cabinet Secretary noted—

” “The creative industries advisory group, which I co-chair, has taken a keen interest in the subject. One of our most recent sessions was with the Scottish Funding Council; we talked about types of education funding, the council’s focus and how it keeps in touch with what the industry needs. The group’s view is that it much prefers youngsters to have the professional skills training in their art, because people can pick up the practicalities of doing business when they are working.”²⁷⁵

199. **The Committee recommends that Creative Scotland should redesign its overall funding framework in a way that recognises and supports artists and arts organisations at different stages of their professional development. The Committee notes, in this regard, that this approach is used in other European countries, including France and Ireland and invites Creative Scotland to consider how comparative approaches, such as bursaries and stipends, could be used in Scotland.**
200. **The Committee recommends that the Scottish Funding Council in conjunction with relevant partners ensures that the outcome agreements it has with further and higher education institutes with regard to employability and career support is being applied to programmes relevant to the arts.**

Other means of supporting artists

201. The Committee’s call for evidence sought views on how Scotland could be innovative in its support for the arts. Individuals who attended the Committee’s fact-finding visit to Ayr noted that social security and the Enterprise Allowance Scheme played an important role in supporting artists in the 1980s.²⁷⁶ More recently, it was noted that the Scottish Government has funded a feasibility study into a basic citizens’ income working with four local authorities: Fife Council, City of Edinburgh Council, Glasgow City Council and North Ayrshire Council.²⁷⁷
202. A number of submissions suggested that a basic citizens’ income should be made available to artists,²⁷⁸ and this idea was also supported by many artists who gave oral evidence to the Committee.²⁷⁹ A potential benefit of this approach that was highlighted in the written submissions included promoting greater diversity within the arts.²⁸⁰ It was also noted that systems for providing financial support to individual artists would require careful design to encourage artists to continue to develop ‘onto other funding or business models’.²⁸¹
203. The Cabinet Secretary explained to the Committee that she has had discussions with those who are conducting the pilots, noting that including artists would be “a very good route to go down”.²⁸²

204. The Committee recommends that the Scottish Government take steps to ensure that artists and cultural freelancers are included in the range of participants in the ongoing feasibility studies into a basic citizens income.

Annexe

Membership changes

The Committee's membership changed in the reporting period, as follows:

- Tavish Scott MSP stood down as a member of the Scottish Parliament on 15 July 2019.
- Mike Rumbles MSP joined the Committee on 10 September 2019.
- Donald Cameron MSP replaced Jamie Greene MSP as a member of the Committee on 10 September 2019.

Minutes

- [Minute of 16th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 30 May 2019](#)
- [Minute of 17th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 6 June 2019](#)
- [Minute of 18th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 20 June 2019](#)
- [Minute of 19th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 27 June 2019](#)
- [Minute of 21st Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 19 September 2019](#)
- [Minute of 27th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 14 November 2019](#)
- [Minute of 28th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 21 November 2019](#)
- [Minute of 30th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday, 5 December 2019](#)

Official Reports and Notes of Fact-Finding Visits

Official Reports

- [Official Report of 16th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 30 May 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 17th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 6 June 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 18th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 20 June 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 19th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 27 June 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 21st Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 19 September 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 27th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 14 November 2019](#)
- [Official Report of 28th Meeting, 2019 \(Session 5\), Thursday 21 November 2019](#)

Notes of Fact-Finding Visits

- [Compiled notes from Ayr, Ayrshire College, 3 June 2019](#)
- [Compiled notes from Dunfermline, Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019](#)

Written submissions

All written submissions have been published on the [Committee's webpage](#). A list of the written submissions is provided below:

- Aberdeen City Council
- Monika Alff
- Anonymous A1
- Anonymous A2
- Applied Arts Scotland
- A.R.Ts Afternoon
- Ayr Gaiety Partnership
- Barrowland Ballet
- Dr Alison Bell
- Graham Berry
- Norman Bissell
- Nicholas Bone
- Keira Brown
- Mary Bourne
- Patricia Cain
- Camelot Ltd
- Catherine Wheels Theatre Company
- Centre for Contemporary Arts
- Collective
- conFAB
- Cove Park
- Craft Scotland

- Creative Europe Desk UK
- Creative Scotland
- Creetown Initiative Ltd
- Culture Aberdeen
- Culture Counts
- Professor Richard Demarco CBE
- Angie Dight
- Dogstar Theatre Company
- Drake Music Scotland
- Dudendance
- Edinburgh Festival Fringe Society
- Edinburgh International Festival
- Federation of Scottish Theatre
- Festivals Edinburgh
- Alec Finlay
- FireExit Theatre Company
- Fruitmarket Gallery
- Harry Josephine Giles
- Glasgow Life
- Anita Govan
- Kirsten Gow
- Katriona Holmes
- Imagine
- Impact Arts (Projects) Ltd
- Literature Alliance Scotland
- Ken Mathieson
- Museum Galleries Scotland
- Musicians' Union
- Music Venue Trust

- National Theatre of Scotland
- Neo Productions
- People's Postcode Lottery
- Playwrights' Studio, Scotland
- Virginia Radcliffe
- Really Interesting Objects CIC
- John Scott MSP
- Scottish Artists Union
- Scottish Contemporary Art Network
- Starcatchers
- Claire Stewart
- Strident Publishing Limited
- TRACS
- Traditional Music and Song Association of Scotland
- Tricky Hat Productions
- Voluntary Arts Scotland
- The Work Room
- Ydance (Scottish Youth Dance)

Supplementary submissions

- Fire Station Creative supplementary submission

Comparative Research

- [Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. \(2019\) Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01 CTEEA](#)

References

Graphic quotations

- p. 14 - Virginia Radcliffe: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/57 (Virginia Radcliffe), p. 1.

- p. 15 - Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland), p. 1.
- p. 20 - Harry Josephine Giles: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 30 May 2019, Col 33.*
- p. 22 - Mary Bourne: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne), p. 2.
- p. 24 - Starcatchers: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers), p. 3.
- p. 31 - Emma Jayne Park: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 6 June 2019, Col 29.*
- p. 36 - Bryan: comments made during fact-finding visit to Ayrshire College, 3 June 2019.
- p. 40 - Georgia: comments made during fact-finding visit to Ayrshire College, 3 June 2019.
- p. 40 - Janie Nicoll: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 6 June 2019, Col 6.*
- p. 44 - David Leddy: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 30 May 2019, Col 35.*
- p. 50 - Raymond Vilakazi: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 30 May 2019, Col 50.*
- p. 53 - Professor Richard Demarco CBE: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 30 May 2019, Col 3.*

- 1 Scottish Government. (2018) *Delivering for Today, Investing for Tomorrow: the Government's Programme for Scotland 2018-19* , p. 31.
- 2 Creative Scotland; Historic Environment Scotland; the National Collections of Scotland; National Performing Companies; Scottish Library and Information Council.
- 3 This includes including the National Gallery, the Scottish National Portrait Gallery, the Scottish National Gallery of Modern Art, the Dean Gallery, and two partner galleries at Duff House and Paxton House.
- 4 This includes the National Museum of Scotland in Edinburgh, the National Museum of Flight in East Lothian, the National Museum of Rural Life in East Kilbride, and the National War Museum Scotland at Edinburgh Castle.
- 5 Final year to include RCAHMS, planned funding for this year was £4.5m in cash terms.
- 6 Scottish Government (2019). *Annual culture funding* .
- 7 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/25 (National Theatre of Scotland). Written submission, p. 1.
- 8 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/06 (Nicholas Bone); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/11 (Federation of Scottish Theatre); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/12 (Prof. Richard Demarco OBE); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/20 (Edinburgh International Festival); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/25 (National Theatre of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/40 (Edinburgh Festival Fringe Society); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50 (Festivals Edinburgh); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/52 (Angie Dight); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/54 (Claire Stewart); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/57 (Virginia Radcliffe); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/58 (Culture Aberdeen); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland).
- 9 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50 (Festivals Edinburgh); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/52 (Angie Dight); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/35 (Voluntary Arts Scotland).
- 10 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/11 (Federation of Scottish Theatre). Written submission, p. 1.
- 11 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019). *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 7.
- 12 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union). Written submission, p. 1.
- 13 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions). Written submission, p. 2.
- 14 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 19.
- 15 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 20.
- 16 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 30.

- 17 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Cols 30-31.
- 18 Scottish Government (2018). [Draft Budget 2018-19 \(Level 4\) \(Tab “CTEA”, Cell P30\)](#).
- 19 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 2 May 2019*, Col 17.
- 20 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network). Written submission, p. 1.
- 21 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/11 (Federation of Scottish Theatre). Written submission, p. 1.
- 22 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/60 (Culture Counts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/51 (The Work Room); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/24 (Tricky Hat Productions); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/35 (Voluntary Arts Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/27.
- 23 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/27 (Ayr Gaiety Theatre). Written submission, p. 2.
- 24 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 3.
- 25 The other distributors include: Arts Council England; National Lottery Heritage Fund; UK Sport; SportScotland; Sport England; Arts Council Northern Ireland; Sport Northern Ireland; British Film Institute; Arts Council Wales; Sport Wales; the National Lottery Community Fund.
- 26 The Scottish Government (2018). "Directions issued to Creative Scotland under section 26(1) as read with section 26A(1)(a) of the National Lottery etc. Act 1993" as set out in Creative Scotland's [National Lottery Distribution Fund Annual Report and Accounts Year Ending 31 March 2018](#) , Appendix 2 – Policy Direction, pp. 45-46.
- 27 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/59 (Creative Scotland). Written submission, p. 3.
- 28 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company). Written submission, p. 1.
- 29 Scottish Government (2017). [Annual Letter of Guidance - Creative Scotland Draft Budget 2018-19](#) .
- 30 Scottish Government (2017). [Annual Letter of Guidance - Creative Scotland Draft Budget 2018-19](#) .
- 31 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A1 (Anonymous); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/03 (Creetown Imitative Ltd); [CTEEA/S5/19/AF/34](#) (Drake Music Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/59 (Creative Scotland).
- 32 UK Government. (2018) [Consultation on Society Lottery Reform on options for amending sales and prize limits for large and small society lotteries](#).
- 33 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/37 (Camelot Ltd). Written submission, p. 1.
- 34 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/37 (Camelot Ltd). Written submission, p. 3.
- 35 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/21 (People's Postcode Lottery). Written submission, p. 1.
- 36 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/21 (People's Postcode Lottery). Written submission, p. 1.

- 37 Department for Culture, Media and Sport. (2019) [Government response to the consultation on society lottery reform](#) .
- 38 Department for Culture, Media and Sport. (2019) [Government response to the consultation on society lottery reform](#) .
- 39 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A1 (Anonymous); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/03 (Creetown Initiative Ltd); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company); [CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53](#) (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions).
- 40 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council). Written submission, p. 3.
- 41 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/25 (National Theatre of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50 (Festivals Edinburgh); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/51 (The Work Room).
- 42 Scottish Government. (2018) [A Culture Strategy for Scotland: Draft for Consultation](#) , p. 6.
- 43 Scottish Government. (2019) [Protecting Scotland's Future: The Government's Programme for Scotland 2019-20](#) , pp. 4, 9.
- 44 Economy, Energy and Fair Work Committee. 9th Report, 2019 (Session 5). [Scottish National Investment Bank Stage 1 Report](#) (SP Paper 571), para 83.
- 45 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. [Official Report, 21 November 2019](#), Col 12.
- 46 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. [Official Report, 21 November 2019](#), Cols 12-13.
- 47 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/59 (Creative Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/62 (Museums Galleries Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association Scotland).
- 48 Euclid (2014). [Assessing the European Union's Contribution to the Arts, Media and Creative Industries in Scotland](#) .
- 49 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), dated 14 June 2019.
- 50 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), dated 14 June 2019.
- 51 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/67 (Creative Europe Desk UK). Written submission.
- 52 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/67 (Creative Europe Desk UK). Written submission, pp. 4-5.
- 53 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/29 (Scottish Artists' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/59 (Creative Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/62 (Museums Galleries Scotland).

- 54 [Article 8 of the Regulation No 1295/2013 establishing the Creative Europe Programme](#) stipulates that countries other than EU Member States may participate in the Programme. This participation is subject to the conditions referred to in the same article.
- 55 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/67 (Creative Europe Desk UK). Written submission, p. 6.
- 56 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), dated 14 June 2019.
- 57 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland). Written submission, p. 2. See also comments in CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (National Theatre of Scotland). Written submission, pp. 1, 3.
- 58 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), dated 14 June 2019.
- 59 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), dated 14 June 2019.
- 60 Scottish Government. (2019) [Consultation – the Replacement of European Structural Funds in Scotland Post EU-Exit](#) .
- 61 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 32.
- 62 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, para 3.1.3. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>.
- 63 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/20 (Edinburgh International Festival); [CTEEA/S5/19/AF/58](#) (Culture Aberdeen); [CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50](#) (Festivals Edinburgh); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/26 (conFAB); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/59 (Creative Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland).
- 64 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, p. 12. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>. NB: The Strategy was published in 2000 and the accompanying guidance was published in 2003.
- 65 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, p. 13. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>.
- 66 Scottish Government communication with SPICe.
- 67 Scottish Parliament Information Centre. (2019) [Local government finance: facts and figures 2013-14 to 2019-20](#). SPICe Briefing SB 19-45, pp. 9-10.

- 68 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 4.
- 69 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life). Written submission, p. 1.
- 70 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life). Written submission, pp. 1-2.
- 71 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 5.
- 72 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 10.
- 73 Accounts Commission. (2018) *Councils' use of arm's length organisations*, p. 10.
- 74 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 35.
- 75 Scottish Government. (2017) [Report of the Barclay Review of Non-Domestic Rates](#) , para 4.116.
- 76 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 41.
- 77 See generally: Accounts Commission. (2018) *Councils' use of arm's length organisations*.
- 78 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 8. See: Local Government and Regeneration Committee. 7th Report, 2016 (Session 4), [Inquiry into arm's length external organisations](#) (SP Paper 941).
- 79 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 8.
- 80 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 9.
- 81 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 19 September 2019*, Cols 1-4.
- 82 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 19 September 2019*, Col 3.
- 83 See for example: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/54 (Claire Stewart). Written submission; Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 19 September 2019*.
- 84 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/67 (Creative Europe Desk UK). Written submission, p. 5
- 85 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 19 September 2019*, Col 4.

- 86 WhiteBox is an online, outcomes planning tool that enables better planning, execution and evaluation of cultural activities. The WhiteBox platform is a public sector funded initiative led by Cultural Development Network in partnership with the Australian capital cities through their participation in trials and development funded by the Australia Council for the Arts. <https://culturaldevelopment.net.au/whitebox/>.
- 87 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 19 September 2019*, Col 2.
- 88 The draft strategy outlines states in this regard: “The strategy...is committed to long term change through greater collaboration and integration across culture, communities and policy development.” See: Scottish Government. (2018) [A Culture Strategy for Scotland: Draft for Consultation](#) , p. 15.
- 89 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 24.
- 90 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 25.
- 91 Scottish Government. (2019) [National Performance Framework: How it Works](#) .
- 92 Scottish Government. (2019) [Improving Public Services: Community Planning](#) .
- 93 Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015.
- 94 Audit Scotland. (2019) [Local Government in Scotland: Challenges and Performance 2019](#) , p. 6.
- 95 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 9.
- 96 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Cols 29-30.
- 97 Scottish Executive. (2000) *Creating our Future, Minding our Past*, p. 57.
- 98 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, para 3.1.4. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>.
- 99 These included Aberdeen City Council, Dundee City Council, Renfrewshire City Council and Dumfries and Galloway Council.
- 100 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Cols 14, 15.
- 101 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Cols 6-7
- 102 CTEEA/S5/19AF/58 (Culture Aberdeen). Written submission, p. 1.
- 103 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 7.

- 104 On Fife (2019). *Fife's Cultural Strategy*.
- 105 On Fife (2019). *Fife's Cultural Strategy*.
- 106 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Dunfermline, Hosted by Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 107 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Dunfermline, Hosted by Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 108 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Ayr, Hosted by Ayrshire College, 3 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 109 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*.
- 110 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 6.
- 111 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 6.
- 112 Arts Act 2003, Number 24 of 2003.
- 113 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 9.
- 114 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 9.
- 115 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019) *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 25
- 116 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 10.
- 117 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 11.
- 118 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 5.
- 119 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 7.
- 120 Scottish Government communication with SPICe.
- 121 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 5.
- 122 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council). Written submission, p. 3.

- 123 Existing deals include: Glasgow City Region Deal; Aberdeen City Region Deal; Inverness and Highland City Region Deal; Stirling and Clackmannanshire City Region Deal; Edinburgh and South East Scotland City Region Deal; Tay Cities Region Deal: <https://www.gov.scot/policies/cities-regions/city-region-deals/>.
- 124 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Cols 26-27.
- 125 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 27.
- 126 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 20 June 2019*, Col 9.
- 127 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 20 June 2019*, Col 26.
- 128 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 20 June 2019*, Col 26.
- 129 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Dunfermline, Hosted by Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 130 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 20 June 2019*, Col 32.
- 131 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 15.
- 132 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, [Letter to Creative Scotland](#), 14 June 2018.
- 133 Creative Scotland. (2019) [Place Programme](#) .
- 134 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 14.
- 135 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 15.
- 136 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 16.
- 137 See the witnesses who gave evidence on behalf of local governance or membership organisations on 27 June 2019, excluding Creative Scotland.
- 138 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 15.
- 139 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 16.
- 140 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 16.

- 141 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 29.
- 142 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 31.
- 143 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/03 (Creetown Initiative Ltd), CTEEA/S5/19/AF/35 (Voluntary Arts Scotland), CTEEA/S5/19/AF/64 (Barrowland Ballet). See also Rhona Matheson commentary – Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 28; Janie Nicoll commentary – Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 6 June 2019*, Col 6.
- 144 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/03 (Creetown Initiative Ltd). Written submission, p. 2.
- 145 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 2 May 2019*, Col 17.
- 146 The local authority areas without an RFO include: Clackmannanshire; East Ayrshire; East Renfrewshire; Falkirk; Midlothian; North Ayrshire; Renfrewshire; South Ayrshire; South Lanarkshire; West Dunbartonshire; West Lothian. NB: Overall applications from organisations based in 21 local authority areas were successful; applications from a further 3 outstanding local authority areas were received but were unsuccessful; and no applications were received from 8 local authority areas.
- 147 Creative Scotland. (2018) [Regular Funding Network 2018-21: The Network](#) .
- 148 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/47 (Monika Aiff); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/58 (Culture Aberdeen); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/45 (Applied Arts Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/22 (Kirsten Gow); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/32 (John Scott MSP); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/03 (Creetown Initiative Ltd); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A1 (Anonymous); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/23 (Imaginate); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/27 (Ayr Gaiety Partnership).
- 149 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 8.
- 150 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 8.
- 151 Arts Act 2003, Number 24 of 2003, s. 6(1).
- 152 National youth/education umbrella body partners that participated in the scheme included YoungScot; YouthLink Scotland; Children in Scotland; The Scottish Adult Learning Partnership; and Imagine.
- 153 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, para 6.7.20. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>

- 154 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, para 6.7.20. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>
- 155 Scottish Executive and COSLA. (2003) *Implementation of the National Cultural Strategy: Guidance for Scottish Local Authorities*, para 6.7.20. Accessed at: <https://www.webarchive.org.uk/wayback/archive/20180517062125/http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16870/20678>
- 156 Scottish Arts Council. *Creative Links Programme*. Accessed at: <http://www.scottisharts.org.uk/1/artsinscotland/education/priorities/creativelinks.aspx>
- 157 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 9.
- 158 Scottish Government. (2018) *A Culture Strategy for Scotland: Draft for Consultation*, p. 15.
- 159 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/60 (Culture Counts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/12 (Prof. Richard Demarco CBE); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company).
- 160 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company). Written submission, p. 2.
- 161 Education and Skills Committee. 1st Report, 2019 (Session 5). *A note of concern: The future of instrumental music tuition in schools* (SP Paper 450); [CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43](#) (Dogstar Theatre Company). Written submission.
- 162 Education and Skills Committee. 1st Report, 2019 (Session 5). *A note of concern: The future of instrumental music tuition in schools* (SP Paper 450).
- 163 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 31.
- 164 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 31.
- 165 Creative Scotland. (2003) *Time to Shine: Scotland's Youth Arts Strategy For Ages 0-25*.
- 166 A more recent funding initiative announced in the Programme for Government (2018-19), the Cultural Youth Experience Fund, has not yet been delivered by the Scottish Government: Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 26 September 2019*, Col 9-10.
- 167 Creative Scotland. (2019) *Youth Music Initiative Funding*.
- 168 NB: the provision of music tuition in schools has been the subject of a recent inquiry by the Education and Skills Committee. 1st Report, 2019 (Session 5). *A note of concern: The future of instrumental music tuition in schools* (SP Paper 450).
- 169 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/60 (Culture Counts). Written submission.

- 170 The Committee received written evidence from other stakeholders who expressed wider concerns about the use of targeted funding generally and the risk that it can be overly prescriptive in directing artistic development, rather than enhancing artistic freedom: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/06 (Nicholas Bone); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/12 (Prof. Richard Demarco OBE); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/54 (Claire Stewart).
- 171 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/55 (A.R.Ts Afternoon). Written submission, p. 1.
- 172 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/55 (A.R.Ts Afternoon). Written submission.
- 173 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Dunfermline, Hosted by Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 174 Scottish Government. (2018) *Delivering for Today, Investing for Tomorrow – The Government's Programme for Scotland 2018-19* , p. 113.
- 175 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 26 September 2019*, Cols 2, 9.
- 176 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 26 September 2019*, Col 10.
- 177 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 27 June 2019*, Col 13.
- 178 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 24.
- 179 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 24.
- 180 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Cols 22-23.
- 181 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 24.
- 182 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 17.
- 183 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/62 (Museums Galleries Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/31 (Music Venue Trust).
- 184 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts). Written submission, p. 2.
- 185 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company). Written submission.
- 186 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Cols 8-9.
- 187 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/31 (Music Venue Trust). Written submission.

- 188 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry). Written submission.
- 189 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell). Written submission, p. 3.
- 190 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/31 (Music Venue Trust). Written submission.
- 191 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/31 (Music Venue Trust). Written submission, p. 10.
- 192 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019) *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 18.
- 193 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 26.
- 194 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 26.
- 195 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 26.
- 196 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), 14 June 2019.
- 197 Creative Scotland, [Letter to the Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee](#), 14 June 2019.
- 198 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Cols 27-28.
- 199 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/44 (Playwrights' Studio, Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/24 (Tricky Hat Productions); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/28 (YDance).
- 200 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/28 (YDance). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/60 (Culture Counts). Written submission.
- 201 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life). Written submission.
- 202 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A2 (Anonymous). Written submission.
- 203 In 2018, the Scottish Government committed £150,000 in match-funding for the Scottish Youth Theatre after it was unsuccessful in obtaining regular funding for the 2018-21 funding round.
- 204 Wavehill. (2008) [Evaluation of the 2018-2021 Regular Funding Process](#) , para 4.5.5.
- 205 Wavehill. (2008) [Evaluation of the 2018-2021 Regular Funding Process](#) , para 4.5.5.
- 206 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 6.

- 207 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Ayr, Ayrshire College, Ayr Campus, 3 June 2019, Note of discussion, p. 6.
- 208 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019) *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 48.
- 209 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 10.
- 210 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 10.
- 211 Department for Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht. (2019) [Minister Madigan announces measures to significantly increase funding for Public Art](#) .
- 212 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 14.
- 213 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 15.
- 214 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/51 (The Work Room); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/39 (Really Interesting Objects); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/04 (Harry Josephine Giles); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/11 (Federation of Scottish Theatre); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/22 (Kirsten Gow); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/29 (Scottish Artists' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/01 (Alec Finlay). A cultural freelancer may be defined as a freelancer working in the cultural sector.
- 215 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/39 (Really Interesting Objects CIC). Written submission. See also: Arts Professional, "Arts Pay 2018: A summary of pay and earnings in the arts and cultural sector": https://www.artsprofessional.co.uk/sites/artsprofessional.co.uk/files/artspay_2018_report.pdf
- 216 Artists Union. (2019) [Rates of Pay](#) .
- 217 Musicians Union. (2019) [Fees and Payment](#) .
- 218 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/29 (Scottish Artists Union). Written submission, p. 2.
- 219 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians Union). Written submission, p. 2.
- 220 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company). Written submission, p. 3.
- 221 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/04 (Harry Josephine Giles). Written submission, p. 2. See also: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts). Written submission.
- 222 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/22 (Kirsten Gow). Written submission.
- 223 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes). Written submission.

- 224 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts). Written submission.
- 225 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/22 (Kirsten Gow). Written submission.
- 226 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council). Written submission, p. 2.
- 227 Creative Scotland. (2019) [Commitment to Fair Pay](#) .
- 228 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/04 (Harry Josephine Giles); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/29 (Scottish Artists Union) .
- 229 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company). Written submission, p. 3.
- 230 Scottish Government. (2019) [People Working in the Arts and Culture](#) .
- 231 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 4.
- 232 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A1 (Anonymous); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/06 (Nicholas Bone); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/15 (Cove Park); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/27 (Ayr Gaiety Theatre); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/A1 (Anonymous); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/06 (Nicholas Bone); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/15 (Cove Park); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/27 (Ayr Gaiety Theatre); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/33 (Impact Arts (Projects) Ltd).
- 233 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/30 (Keira Brown); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/15 (Cove Park); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/09 (Anita Govan); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/01 (Alec Finlay).
- 234 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/16 (Ken Mathieson). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions). Written submission.
- 235 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland). Written submission. CTEEA/S5/19/AF/35 (Voluntary Arts Scotland). Written submission.
- 236 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/38 (Craft Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/02 (TRACS); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/20 (Edinburgh International Festival); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/09 (Anita Govan) .
- 237 For commentary on network organisations, see for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/38 (Craft Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/02 (TRACS); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/20 (Edinburgh International Festival).
- 238 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions). Written submission, p. 1.
- 239 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019) *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 11.

- 240 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 15.
- 241 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/23 (Imagine); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/64 (Barrowland Ballet); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/52 (Angie Dight); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/36 (Catherine Wheels Theatre Company); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit).
- 242 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Dunfermline, Hosted by Fire Station Creative, 10 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 243 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/23 (Imagine). Written submission, p. 3.
- 244 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 9.
- 245 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/10 (Mary Bourne); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/41 (Dudendance); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/64 (Barrowland Ballet).
- 246 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/18 (Centre for Contemporary Arts). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/41 (Dudendance). Written submission.
- 247 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 13.
- 248 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 13.
- 249 Drew Wylie Projects Ltd. (2019) *Scottish Parliament - Arts Funding Inquiry Comparative Analysis Ref: 2018-19/01/CTEEA*, p. 17.
- 250 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Cols 17-20.
- 251 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 20.
- 252 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, [Letter to Creative Scotland](#), 14 June 2018.
- 253 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/63 (Traditional Music & Song Association of Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Arts Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/38 (Craft Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/02 (TRACS); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/17 (Starcatchers); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/20 (Edinburgh International Festival); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/14 (Musicians' Union).
- 254 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/56 (Strident Publishing); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/44 (Playwrights' Studio, Scotland); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/48 (Glasgow Life); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50 (Festivals Edinburgh); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/58 (Culture Aberdeen).

- 255 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Art Network); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/13 (Collective); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/38 (Craft Scotland).
- 256 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/61 (Scottish Contemporary Art Network). Written submission, p. 4.
- 257 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 6.
- 258 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 22.
- 259 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 22.
- 260 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 6 June 2019*, Col 12.
- 261 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee, Fact-finding visit to Ayr, Hosted by Ayrshire College, 3 June 2019, Note of discussion.
- 262 Minister for Further, Higher Education and Science to the Scottish Funding Council (2018). [2019-20 Outcome Agreement Guidance](#) , para 29.
- 263 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/05 (Alison Bell). Written submission.; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/15 (Cove Park). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/09 (Kirsten Gow). Written submission.
- 264 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/50 (Festivals Edinburgh). Written submission, p. 2.
- 265 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 21.
- 266 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/49 (Patricia Cain). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/42 (Literature Alliance Scotland). Written submission.
- 267 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/07 (Graham Berry). Written submission.
- 268 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/57 (Virginia Radcliffe). Written submission; CTEEA/S5/19/AF/19 (Fire Exit). Written submission.
- 269 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes). Written submission, p. 2.
- 270 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/45 (Applied Arts Scotland). Written submission.
- 271 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Cols 1-2.
- 272 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 14 November 2019*, Col 2.
- 273 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 6 June 2019*, Col 26.
- 274 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Cols 4-5.

- 275 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 26.
- 276 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Col 10.
- 277 Scottish Government. (2019) [*Basic Income Scotland: Creating A Fairer Scotland*](#) .
- 278 See, for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/54 (Claire Stewart); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/46 (Norman Bissell); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/43 (Dogstar Theatre Company). Regarding a basic income system for artists with a track record, see for example, written submissions: CTEEA/S5/19/AF/06 (Nicholas Bone); CTEEA/S5/19/AF/08 (Katriona Holmes).
- 279 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 30 May 2019*, Cols 31-33; Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 6 June 2019*, Cols 8, 33-36.
- 280 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/65 (Neo Productions). Written submission, p. 4.
- 281 CTEEA/S5/19/AF/53 (Aberdeen City Council). Written submission, p. 4.
- 282 Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Affairs Committee. *Official Report, 21 November 2019*, Col 5.

